

1 **The effect of spearmint, oregano, and thyme extracts on biofilm formation by *Listeria***
2 ***monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* O157: H7, and *Salmonella typhimurium*.**

3
4
5 **Abstract**

6 The formation of bacterial biofilm on surfaces related to food processing is of particular
7 importance. Due to the health concerns associated with the production of biofilm on food-related
8 surfaces and the increase of antimicrobial resistance in pathogenic bacteria, the present study
9 aimed to investigate the anti-biofilm effects of oregano, spearmint, and thyme extracts against the
10 biofilms of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* O157: H7, and *Salmonella typhimurium*.

11 Spearmint, Oregano, and Thyme plants were freshly prepared, dried, and ground. The hydro and
12 ethanolic extracts of the plants were extracted by soaking. The amount of phenolic compound of
13 hydro and ethanolic extracts was evaluated using the spectrophotometric method. The extracts'
14 minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) were
15 determined. The biofilm inhibition and destruction by the extracts were examined using the
16 microdilution method.

17 The results showed that the highest amount of phenolic compounds among ethanolic and aqueous
18 extracts belongs to oregano and thyme extracts, respectively. Also, the results showed that the
19 lowest effective concentration of the extracts on *L. monocytogenes* was by thyme aqueous extract
20 with MIC and MBC of 1.8 and 2%, respectively, and for oregano ethanolic extract was 1.2 and
21 1.4%. The most significant biofilm-inhibiting effect on *L. monocytogenes*, *S. typhimurium*, and *E.*
22 *coli* O157: H7 was observed by the thyme aqueous extract and oregano ethanolic extract.
23 Moreover, the highest amount of biofilm destruction was achieved by the thyme aqueous extract
24 and oregano ethanolic extract.

25 The results of the present study indicate that aqueous and ethanolic extracts of spearmint, oregano,
26 and thyme plants have inhibitory and destructive effects on biofilm formation by pathogenic
27 bacteria. Therefore, these natural antimicrobial compounds can be used to control and prevent
28 biofilm formation in food industries.

29 **Keywords:** Biofilm, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* O157:
30 H7, Oregano, Thyme, Spearmint.

37 **1. Introduction**

38 Biofilm is ubiquitous and can be found in various environments, including living tissues, natural
39 aquatic systems, non-living surfaces, food processing equipment, food contact surfaces, water
40 system piping, and medical equipment (1). The concept of biofilm was first proposed by Marshall
41 et al. in 1971 (2). Bacteria can switch between two distinct "lifestyles": a motile planktonic
42 unicellular state and a biofilm state. A biofilm is a microbial community with cells embedded in
43 an extracellular matrix, which includes adhesives, exopolysaccharides, proteins, and DNA (3).

44 Biofilms exist on most surfaces in the open air (1). The current definition of a bacterial biofilm is
45 an enclosed community of cells that self-produce in a matrix and adhere to abiotic or biotic
46 surfaces. Biofilms form a protected state that allows survival in unfavorable environmental
47 conditions. They can also feature structures such as channels, which allow nutrients to enter (4). It
48 has been reported that eDNA and intracellular junctions of EPS act as a barrier to the penetration
49 of various antimicrobials (2). The structural role of ECM (Extra Cellular Matrix) helps to
50 strengthen the durability of biofilms in industries.

51 Biofilms can form quickly and spontaneously by bacteria on various surfaces, including food,
52 metals, rubber, plastic, glass, cement, and wood (1). By trapping nutrients and enzymes, biofilm
53 can help create the genetic habitat of bacteria and make them resistant to antimicrobial agents. (5,
54 6).

55 Bacteria such as *Salmonella typhimurium* (*S. typhimurium*), *Listeria monocytogenes* (*L.*
56 *monocytogenes*), and *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 (*E. coli* O157:H7), are important foodborne
57 pathogens that can produce biofilms on food-related surfaces. Various studies have shown that
58 *Salmonella* can form biofilms on non-living surfaces such as plastic, rubber, cement, glass, and
59 stainless steel (1). *L. monocytogenes* can adhere and form biofilms on the surface of food
60 processing equipment, including polystyrene, stainless steel, polymer, plastic, Teflon, and rubber
61 (7). Also, *L. monocytogenes* grows at low temperatures, and its ability to form biofilms is difficult
62 to remove during the cleaning process (8). Numerous studies indicate that *E. coli* biofilms exist in
63 all stages of food processing and production, contaminating food and causing foodborne illness
64 (9). Preventing the formation of bacterial biofilm, including spoilage bacteria and pathogens, is a
65 vital task in the food industry, otherwise, it increases the resistance of biofilm bacteria to stress,
66 and disinfectants.

67 Frequent contamination and rapid degradation of food by biofilm cells pose a significant food
68 safety risk and threaten the health of consumers. Biofilms on surfaces and food processing
69 equipment can easily contaminate final products, resulting in food infection or intoxication in
70 consumers. The cells in the biofilm are more resistant to heat, desiccation, acidic environment,
71 salinity, antimicrobial agents, and food preservatives than their planktonic counterparts, therefore
72 bacterial biofilms are a significant threat to human and animal health (10, 8). One of the ways to
73 control or eliminate biofilm is to control the output pump in bacterial cells. Bacteria use different
74 pump systems to drive toxins and waste metabolites out. The activity of the pumps can cause
75 resistance to chemicals such as antibiotics, followed by the emergence of strains resistant to several
76 drugs. (11). Biofilm eradication is a challenge for the food industry because the microorganisms

present in the biofilm have become very resistant to the conventional antimicrobial treatments currently used in the food industry (12). Recent research suggests that at least 65% of bacteria causing infection and 70% of chronic infections in humans can be of biofilm origin (13). Plant extracts have antimicrobial properties and are recognized worldwide as potential sources of new antimicrobial compounds, particularly against bacterial pathogens. It is used as a possible alternative in food preservation and the treatment of infectious diseases (14). Studies have demonstrated that phenolic compounds found in plants, such as carvacrol and thymol, exhibit high antioxidant and antimicrobial activity. Phenolic compounds disrupt cytoplasmic integrity, leading to the destruction of the outer membrane of bacteria, which ultimately results in increased permeability of the membrane and leads to the death of the bacteria (12, 3, 15).

The present study was designed and implemented to investigate the effect of aqueous and ethanol extracts of spearmint, oregano, and thyme plants on the biofilm of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* O157: H7, and *Salmonella typhimurium*.

9.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of Extracts

Fresh plants including spearmint (*Mentha spicata*), oregano (*Mentha pulegium*), and thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) were prepared, dried in the shade, and then ground. To prepare the extract, 50 grams of plant powder was poured into a 1-liter jar, then 500 ml of distilled water was added to prepare the aqueous extract, and 500 ml of 96% ethanol was added to prepare the alcoholic extract. The mixture was then placed in a shaker for 24 hours and kept in the dark for 48 hours at room temperature. After that, the mixture was filtered and centrifuged three times at 4000 rpm for 5 minutes, and passed through Whatman filter paper. The aqueous and ethanolic extracts were placed in an oven at a temperature of 40°C until they were dehydrated and dried. To reconstitute the extracts, 2 grams of the extract powder were dissolved in a beaker with 20 mL of sterile distilled water. The extract was then filtered through a 0.45 µm head syringe filter under sterile conditions. The extracts were kept in sterile and dark glass containers (3).

2.2. Determining the phenolic compounds of the extracts

The spectrophotometric method was used to determine the total phenolic compounds of the extracts by UV-VIS spectrophotometer using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. For this purpose, 2 mL of 10% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added to 0.5 mL of extract and after 5 minutes, 2 mL of 5% sodium carbonate solution was added. The absorbance of the samples was read after 2 hours at a wavelength of 760 nm against the blank. Using the gallic acid standard, a calibration curve was drawn, and the phenolic content was calculated in gallic acid equivalents per gram of dry extract (16).

2.3. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the extracts

114 *S. typhimurium* and *L. monocytogenes*, (bacterial bank of the food hygiene laboratory at
115 Shahrekord University), as well as the *E. coli* O157:H7 (ATCC35218) obtained from the
116 microbiology laboratory bank of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, were
117 used to determine the inhibitory concentration of the extracts. The microdilution method was
118 employed in the TSB medium. Different percentages of the extract were added to the wells. Each
119 well was inoculated with 10^6 /ml of the bacteria. One well contained only 200 μ L of culture
120 medium (control), one well contained 100 μ L of extract and 100 μ L of TSB, and another well
121 contained 190 μ L of the TSB and 10 μ L of bacteria. The microplates were then incubated at 37°C
122 for 24 hours. The first concentration of the well without turbidity was considered as the minimum
123 inhibitory concentration (MIC). To determine MBC, 0.1 ml from the MIC well and after wells
124 were cultured on the plate count agar medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The
125 concentration of the first plate without bacterial growth was determined as MBC (17). The tests
126 were performed in three repetitions.

127 **2.4. Examining the inhibition of biofilm production by the extracts**

128 The bacterial strain was first inoculated in the TSB medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.
129 The mixture was then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 minutes, and the supernatant was carefully
130 drained using a sterile Pasteur pipette. Three milliliters of sterile phosphate buffer solution (PBS)
131 was added to the bacterial sediment and thoroughly mixed for 1 minute on a tube shaker to wash
132 the bacterial cells. The mixture was centrifuged again for 5 minutes, and after draining the
133 supernatant, the concentration of McFarland was created by adding PBS solution. 100 μ L of
134 bacterial solution was added to the microplate wells and concentrations equal to the MIC of the
135 extracts and more than was added to the wells containing bacteria. In another row of the microplate,
136 100 μ L of the equivalent percentage of MIC and more of the extract and 100 μ L of PBS solution
137 were added as a negative control. In the next row, 100 microliters of bacteria and 100 μ L of PBS
138 solution were added as a positive control of biofilm. In the next row, 100 μ L of sodium
139 hypochlorite and 100 μ L of PBS solution were added as a positive control. The tests were
140 performed for all aqueous and alcoholic extracts. The microplate was then incubated at 37°C for
141 48 hours. After incubation time, the liquid in the microplate was carefully drained using a sampler,
142 and 200 μ L of 1% crystal violet solution was added to all the wells. After 30 minutes at room
143 temperature, the dye was completely drained and the wells were washed twice with PBS solution.
144 Then, 150 μ L of 96% ethanol alcohol was added to the wells, and after 15 minutes, the contents
145 of each well were carefully transferred to a new microplate. The absorbance of the wells was read
146 using an ELISA reader at a wavelength of 620 nm, and formula 1 was used to calculate the
147 inhibition of biofilm formation by the extracts (18).

148

149 **Formula 1:** Percentage inhibition = $100 - \left[\frac{\text{OD}_{600 \text{ nm experimental well with Ex}}}{\text{OD}_{600 \text{ nm control well without Ex}}} \times 100 \right]$.

150

151 M: Percentage of biofilm formation destruction

152 A: Mean optical absorbance of the sterile distilled water control

103 B: Mean optical absorption of the culture medium control

104 C: Mean optical absorption of the test well

100 D: Mean optical absorbance of the extract control

106

107 **2.5. Determining the biofilm destruction by the extracts**

108 The bacteria were incubated in the TSB medium for 24 hours at 37°C. After that, 1 mL of medium
109 containing bacteria was mixed with 5 mL of sterile TSB medium, and then 100 µL was added to
110 each microplate well. The microplate was incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. The supernatant was
111 then slowly removed, and the non-adherent cells were removed by washing with the sterile PBS
112 solution. To determine the effect of the extract on the biofilm, 100 µL concentrations equivalent
113 to the MIC of the extracts and more were added to six rows of microplate wells. Sterile distilled
114 water was added to the seventh row and 100 µL of sterile TSB was added to the eighth row. Then,
115 the microplate was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After that, the contents of the wells were slowly
116 removed, and 200 µL of 1% crystal violet was added to all wells. After 30 minutes, crystal violet
117 was slowly removed from the wells. The wells were then washed twice with PBS solution.
118 Subsequently, 150 µL of 96% ethanol alcohol was added to the wells. After 15 minutes, the
119 absorbance of the wells was read at a wavelength of 620 nm using an ELISA reader. Finally, the
120 percentage of biofilm destruction in the presence of concentrations of extracts was calculated using
121 the formula 2 (19).

122 **Formula 2:** $M=100 \times \{(A-B)-(C-D) / (A-B)\}$

123 M: Percentage of biofilm destruction

124 A: Mean optical absorbance of the sterile distilled water control

120 B: Mean optical absorbance of the culture medium control

126 C: Mean optical absorbance of the test well

127 D: Mean optical absorbance of the extract control

128 **2.6. Data analysis**

129 The data obtained from the tests were analyzed by Sigma Plot 12 statistical software using
130 McNemar's test at a significant level of $P < 0.05$.

131 **3. Results**

132 Over all the results revealed that the ethanolic extracts of the tested plants contain more phenolic
133 content than the aqueous extracts. The results also showed that the highest concentration of
134 phenolic compounds was 268.2761 mg/L in the ethanol extract of oregano, while the lowest was
135 80.2581 mg/L in the aqueous extract of oregano. (**Table 1**)

136

187 **Table 1.** Phenolic compounds in aqueous and ethanolic extracts of Thyme, Spearmint, and Oregano

		ABS (Absorbance)	Phenolic concentration mg/L
Extract	Blank	0	0
Aqueous	Oregano	0.71877	80.2581
	Thyme	1.2277	137.7259
	Spearmint	1.1344	127.1920
Ethanolic	Oregano	2.3840	268.2761
	Thyme	2.2575	253.9938
	Spearmint	1.7513	196.1421

188

189 **3.1. MIC and MBC of the extracts for the tested bacteria**

190 The MIC and MBC of the extracts were evaluated against *L. monocytogenes*, *S. typhimurium*, and

191 *E. coli* O157:H7. The ethanol extract of oregano exhibited the lowest effective concentration

192 against *L. monocytogenes* with a MIC of 1.2% and MBC of 1.4%. Also, the results showed that

193 among aqueous extracts, thyme aqueous extract had the lowest effective concentration on *L.*

194 *monocytogenes* bacteria with MIC 1.8% and MBC 2%. For *S. typhimurium*, the MIC and MBC

195 values for the thyme aqueous extract were 3% and 3.2%, respectively, while for oregano ethanol

196 extract, they were 1.6% and 1.8%, respectively. For *E. coli* O157:H7, the thyme aqueous extract

197 had the lowest effective concentration, with a MIC of 2.9% and MBC of 3.1%, and for oregano

198 ethanol extract, the MIC and MBC were 1.8% and 2%, respectively. The results showed that

199 among the extracts, the thyme aqueous extract and oregano ethanol extract exhibited the greatest

200 effect in lower concentrations. As shown in Table 2, there is a statistically significant difference

201 between MIC and MBC of different extracts for the tested bacteria ($P < 0.05$). In general, the

202 results showed that the ethanolic extracts of the studied plants had an inhibitory and lethal effect

203 on the tested bacteria in a lower concentration than the aqueous extracts. (Table 2)

204

205 **Table 2.** The MIC and MBC of the extracts for the tested bacteria (%)

Plant	Aqueous extract						Ethanol extract					
	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>		<i>S. typhimurium</i>		<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7		<i>L. monocytogenes</i>		<i>S. typhimurium</i>		<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	
	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
Spearmint	2.8 ^a	3.1 ^a	4.5 ^a	4.8 ^a	4.5 ^a	4.8 ^a	2.2 ^a	2.5 ^a	2.8 ^a	3.1 ^a	3 ^a	3.3 ^a
Oregano	4.5 ^b	5 ^b	6 ^b	6.5 ^b	6 ^b	6.5 ^b	1.2 ^b	1.4 ^b	1.6 ^b	1.8 ^b	1.8 ^b	2 ^b
Thyme	1.8 ^c	2 ^c	3 ^c	3.2 ^b	2.9 ^c	3.1 ^c	1.5 ^b	1.7 ^b	1.8 ^b	2 ^b	2 ^b	2.2 ^b

206 Different letters in each column indicate the statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$)

207

208 **3.2. Biofilm inhibition**

209 As the results present in Table 3, among the aqueous extracts, the thyme extract had the highest
 210 effect on inhibiting biofilm formation with 78%, and the ethanolic extract of oregano with 95%
 211 inhibition among the ethanolic extracts on *L. monocytogenes*. Aqueous extract of thyme prevented
 212 the formation of biofilm by *S. typhimurium* by 74% and ethanolic extract of oregano by 93%.
 213 Among the ethanolic extracts, the ethanolic extract of oregano with 91%, and among the aqueous
 214 extracts, the aqueous extract of thyme with 72%, showed the highest biofilm inhibition effect on
 215 *E. coli* O157:H7. In comparison with the extracts, the highest inhibition of biofilm formation was
 216 observed by sodium hypochlorite. The statistical test showed that there is no significant difference
 217 between the effect of biofilm inhibition by ethanol extracts and sodium hypochlorite, but the
 218 difference was significant for aqueous extracts and sodium hypochlorite (P<0.05), (Table 3).

219

220 **Table 3.** Percentage of biofilm formation inhibition by extracts for *L. monocytogenes*, *S.*
 221 *typhimurium*, and *E.coli* O157:H7

Plant	Aqueous extract			Ethanol extract		
	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i>	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i>	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7
Spearmint	73 ^a	70 ^a	67 ^a	84 ^a	81 ^a	78 ^a
Thyme	78 ^a	74 ^a	72 ^a	89 ^a	85 ^a	81 ^a
Oregano	60 ^b	54 ^b	52 ^b	95 ^b	93 ^b	91 ^b
Sodium hypochlorite	88 ^c	92 ^c	80 ^c	88 ^{bc}	92 ^c	80 ^a

222 Different letters in each column indicate the statistically significant differences (P<0.05)

223

224 **3.3. Destruction of biofilm**

225 The results showed that thyme aqueous extract (70%) and oregano extract (92%) have the highest
 226 effect on *L. monocytogenes* biofilm. The results also show that ethanolic extracts destroy the
 227 biofilm of tested bacteria to a greater extent than aqueous extracts. There was no statistically
 228 significant difference between the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of spearmint and thyme in terms
 229 of biofilm destruction. However, there was a statistically significant difference between the
 230 aqueous and ethanolic extracts of oregano compared to those of spearmint and thyme (P < 0.05).
 231 (Table 4)

232

233

234

۲۳۵ **Table 4.** Biofilm destruction by aqueous extract and ethanolic extract on *L. monocytogenes*, *S.*
۲۳۶ *typhimurium*, and *E. coli* O157:H7 (%)

Plant	Aqueous extract			Ethanolic extract		
	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i>	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i>	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7
Spearmint	65 ^a	60 ^a	58 ^a	73 ^a	67 ^a	65 ^a
Thyme	70 ^a	65 ^a	61 ^a	85 ^b	82 ^b	79 ^b
Oregano	50 ^b	48 ^b	45 ^b	92 ^c	90 ^c	88 ^c

۲۳۷ Different letters in each column indicate the statistically significant differences (P<0.05)

۲۳۸

۲۳۹ The results show that the destruction of biofilms formed by tested bacteria is achieved by aqueous
۲۴۰ and ethanolic extracts of thyme, spearmint, and oregano plants at concentrations higher than the
۲۴۱ MBC. In general, ethanolic extracts of the studied plants at lower concentrations compared to
۲۴۲ aqueous extracts destroyed the bacterial biofilms. The aqueous extract of thyme and the ethanolic
۲۴۳ extract of oregano had the greatest effect on complete biofilm destruction (**Table 5**). There is a
۲۴۴ significant difference between the aqueous extracts of all three plants in the destruction of bacterial
۲۴۵ biofilms; also, there is a statistically significant difference between the ethanolic extract of
۲۴۶ spearmint and two other ethanol extracts (P < 0.05).

۲۴۷

۲۴۸ **Table 5.** The concentration of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of thyme, oregano, and spearmint
۲۴۹ in destruction of *S. typhimurium*, *L. monocytogenes*, and *E. coli* O157:H7 biofilms (%).

Plant	Aqueous extract			Ethanol extract		
	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i>	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i>	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7
Spearmint	3.3 ^a	5 ^a	5 ^a	2.7 ^a	3.3 ^a	3.4 ^a
Oregano	5.2 ^b	6.8 ^b	6.8 ^b	1.6 ^b	3 ^b	2.1 ^b
Thyme	2.2 ^c	3.2 ^c	3.3 ^c	1.9 ^b	2.2 ^b	2.3 ^b

۲۵۰ Different letters in each column indicate the statistically significant differences (P<0.05)

۲۵۱

۲۵۲

۲۵۳

۲۵۴

۲۵۵

۲۰۶ 4. Discussion

۲۰۷ Biofilms are crucial in terms of food safety due to their accumulation in food and surfaces. The
۲۰۸ presence of biofilms can reduce the shelf life of food products and even transmit infectious diseases
۲۰۹ to humans. Identifying ways to prevent the formation and destruction of biofilms is a significant
۲۶۰ topic in recent research. Phenolic compounds of plants have destructive effects on pathogenic
۲۶۱ bacteria. In the present study, the effect of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of spearmint, oregano,
۲۶۲ and thyme plants on inhibiting and destroying the biofilm of *L. monocytogenes*, *E. coli* O157:H7,
۲۶۳ and *S. typhimurium* was investigated. According to the results, ethanolic extracts have a higher
۲۶۴ phenolic content compared to aqueous extracts, likely due to the lower solubility of these
۲۶۵ compounds in water. These results are consistent with the research of Mazarai et al. (20), based on
۲۶۶ their findings, four solvents (water, methanol, acetone, and ethanol), it was found that methanol
۲۶۷ had the highest amount of phenol and water had the lowest amount of phenolic compounds. The
۲۶۸ extraction of these compounds depends on several factors, with the most important being the
۲۶۹ solvent, and extraction method. The choice of solvent and extraction method depends on various
۲۷۰ parts of a plant as well as its ingredients. Hanachi et al. (21) showed that an ethanol/methanol 70%
۲۷۱ solvent with a 1:1 ratio is the most suitable solvent for extracting phenolic compounds.

۲۷۲ The MIC and the MBC of the extracts for *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and
۲۷۳ *Salmonella typhimurium* were obtained with the lowest percentage of aqueous thyme extract and
۲۷۴ ethanolic extract of oregano. Dauqan et al. (5) investigated the effect of aqueous thyme extract on
۲۷۵ *E. coli* O157:H7 and reported MIC values of 2.9% and MBC of 3.1%. Also, Damelian et al.
۲۷۶ evaluated the effect of spearmint essential oils on the growth and survival of some foodborne
۲۷۷ pathogen bacteria, including *B. cereus*, *S. typhimurium*, *L. monocytogenes*, and *Y. enterocolitica*.
۲۷۸ They found that the low percentage of spearmint essential oils inhibited the growth of bacteria
۲۷۹ (22). Broumand et al. (23) revealed that a film containing Shirazi thyme essential oil with a
۲۸۰ concentration of 250 ppm inhibited the growth of *S. typhimurium*, *S. aureus*, and *E. coli* O157:H7.
۲۸۱ The results of the present study also showed that the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the
۲۸۲ examined plants had a greater effect on *L. monocytogenes* compared to the other two gram-
۲۸۳ negative bacteria. Fatemeh Akhwan et al. (24) demonstrated that thyme extracts had the most
۲۸۴ antimicrobial effect on gram-positive bacteria like *Bacillus cereus*, *L. monocytogenes*, and
۲۸۵ *Staphylococcus aureus*.

۲۸۶ Regarding the results of the present study, ethanolic extracts showed a greater ability to inhibit
۲۸۷ biofilm formation compared to aqueous extracts. Compared to sodium hypochlorite, aqueous
۲۸۸ extracts showed less inhibitory effect on bacterial biofilm formation, while ethanolic extracts
۲۸۹ showed similar or better performance in inhibiting biofilm production. Previous studies have
۲۹۰ shown that despite the better effect of disinfectants on biofilm formation, the bacteria in the biofilm
۲۹۱ quickly become resistant to these compounds (25).

۲۹۲ Several studies have indicated that bioactive compounds, such as carvacrol and thymol, in low
۲۹۳ concentrations significant effect in inhibiting biofilm formation by bacteria (26). Hyung Lee et al.
۲۹۴ (27) reported that 16 Asian medicinal plants showed high anti-biofilm activity against EHEC
۲۹۵ without inhibiting planktonic cell growth. Zoya Samoilova (3) found that Yarrow's alcoholic
۲۹۶ extract significantly reduced biofilm formation by *E. coli*.

۲۹۷ Cabarkapa et al. (28) found that carvacrol and thymol inhibited the biofilm formation of *S.*
۲۹۸ *Enteritidis* at the lowest concentration. The findings suggest that plant compounds exert biofilm
۲۹۹ control through the regulation of genes and proteins involved in matrix mobility and
۳۰۰ exopolysaccharide (EPS) production (29). The other studies demonstrate that the high ability of
۳۰۱ plant compounds to control biofilms is due to their effect on genes encoding the production of
۳۰۲ matrix proteins and exopolysaccharides (EPS) (26, 6). The other study has shown that phenol
۳۰۳ compounds, such as carvacrol, prevent the expression of genes related to bacterial adhesion to
۳۰۴ surfaces, including *aggR*, *pic*, *aap*, *aggA*, and *ea*e (6). Sumrani et al. (8) showed that the MIC
۳۰۵ values of onion extract inhibited the primary cell adhesion of bacteria by 77%, while cinnamon
۳۰۶ and garlic extract completely inhibited adhesion. Davila-Aviña et al. (29) reported that among
۳۰۷ plant compounds, gallic acid inhibits *E. coli* biofilm formation, whereas tannic acid and
۳۰۸ methylgallate encourage biofilm production.

۳۰۹ Also, the results of the present study show that the most effective destruction of bacterial biofilms
۳۱۰ is achieved by ethanolic extracts. Ethanolic extract of oregano had the most destructive effect on
۳۱۱ the biofilms formed by the tested bacteria. Among the aqueous extracts, thyme extract significantly
۳۱۲ destroyed bacterial biofilms. A comparison of the effects of the tested extracts shows that they
۳۱۳ inhibit biofilm production to a greater extent than they destroy it. Previous studies have also shown
۳۱۴ that preformed biofilms show more resistance to antimicrobial agents and plant extracts (8). Guo
۳۱۵ et al. (30) using scanning electron microscopy found that the thickness and density of *S. aureus*
۳۱۶ biofilms decreased when exposed to phenolic compounds. These compounds have a bactericidal
۳۱۷ effect on biofilm bacteria, removing polysaccharides and proteins from mature biofilms and
۳۱۸ causing biofilm destruction.

۳۱۹ Considering the importance of biofilms in the food industry due to the attachment of pathogenic
۳۲۰ and spoilage bacteria to surfaces in contact with food, removing biofilms is considered an
۳۲۱ important challenge. Therefore, according to the valuable properties of spearmint, oregano, and
۳۲۲ thyme plants shown in this research, the extracts of these plants can be used to inhibit and destroy
۳۲۳ bacterial biofilms in the food industry.

۳۲۴ **Acknowledgments**

۳۲۵ This study is part of a PhD thesis that has been financially supported by the Shahrekord University,
۳۲۶ Iran. We also thank Yadollah Khosravi for cooperating with experiments.

۳۲۷ **Authors' Contribution**

۳۲۸ Concept and design of the study: M.B

۳۲۹ Collection of data: L.A.A

۳۳۰ Analysis and interpretation of data: M.B and H.M

۳۳۱ Drafting of the manuscript: L.A.A

۳۳۲ Critical revision of the manuscript for important

۳۳۳ intellectual content: M.B

334 Statistical analysis: H.M

335 Administrative, technical, and material support: L.A.A

336 **Ethics**

337 None aspects of this paper related to experimental animals or specific human diseases that require
338 the publication and approval of publishing ethics.

339 **Conflict of interest**

340 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

341 **Data availability statement**

342 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

343 **References**

- 344 1. Senakpon I, Ulrich M, Ashrafudoulla M, Furkanur R, Mizan S, Sang Do H. Promising strategies
345 to control persistent enemies some new technologies to combat biofilm in the food industry A
346 review. *Food Safety*. 2021; 20(6): 5938–5964.
- 347 2. Mishra R, Kumari Panda A, De Mandal S, Shakeel M, Singh Bisht S, Khan J. Natural anti-
348 biofilm agents: strategies to control biofilm-forming pathogens. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2020;
349 11(5): 23-30.
- 350 3. Samoilova Z, Muzyka N, Lepekhina E, Oktyabrsky O, Smirnova G. Medicinal plant extracts
351 can variously modify biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli*. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*. 2014;
352 105: 709–722.
- 353 4. Costerton J, Stewart P S, Greenberg E P. Bacterial Biofilms: A common cause of persistent
354 infections. *Microbes, Immunity, Disease*. 1999; 21:1318-1322
- 355 5. Dauqan E, Abdullah A. Medicinal and functional values of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) herb.
356 *Journal of Applied Biology & Biotechnology*. 2017; 5 (02): 017-022.
- 357
- 358 6. Ortiz Y, Garcia-Heredia A, Merino-Mascorro A, García S, Solis-Soto L, Heredia N. Natural
359 and synthetic antimicrobials reduce adherence of enteroaggregative and enterohemorrhagic
360 *Escherichia coli* to epithelial cells. 2021. *PLoS One* May. 3;16(5): e0251096.
- 361 7. Upadhyay A, Upadhyaya I, Kollanoor Johny A, Venkitanarayanan K. Antibiofilm effect
362 derived antimicrobials of plant on *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Food Microbiology*. 2013; 36 (1): 79-
363 89.
- 364 8. Somrani M, Carmen Ingles M, Debbabi H, Palop A. Garlic, Onion, and Cinnamon essential oil
365 anti-biofilm effect against *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Foods*. 2020; 9(5): 567-574.
- 366 9. Zhou F, Wang D, Hu J, Yi Z, Tan B, Lin S. Control measurements of *Escherichia coli* biofilm:
367 A review. *Foods*. 2022; 11(16): 2469.
- 368 10. Rossi C, ChavesL Lopez C, Serio A, Casaccia M, Maggio F, Paparella A. Effectiveness and
369 mechanisms of essential oils for biofilm control on food contact surfaces, An updated review.
370 *Bioscience and Technology for Food*. 2020; 1-21.
- 371 11. Mohammadzadeh A, Sharifi A. Bacterial biofilm and its inhibition mechanisms based on the
372 anti-biofilm properties of plant compounds. *Scientific Research Journal of Experimental Animal*
373 *Biology*. 2016; 1(17): 71-81.

- ۳۷۴ 12. Sadekuzzaman M, Rahaman Mizan F, Kima H S, Yanga S, Haa S D. Activity of thyme and
 ۳۷۵ tea tree essential oils against selected foodborne pathogens in biofilms on abiotic surfaces. Food
 ۳۷۶ Science and Technology. 2017; 17: 1-30.
- ۳۷۷ 13. Gomez Sequeda N, Caceres M, E Stashenko E, Hidalgo W, Ortiz C. Antimicrobial and
 ۳۷۸ antibiofilm activities of essential oils against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and methicillin-resistant
 ۳۷۹ *Staphylococcus aureus* MRSA. Antibiotics. 2020; 9(11): 730-38.
 ۳۸۰
- ۳۸۱ 14. Silva N, Alves S, Goncalves A, Amaral J, Poeta P. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils from
 ۳۸۲ Mediterranean aromatic plants against several foodborne and spoilage bacteria. Food Science and
 ۳۸۳ Technology International. 2013; 1-10.
 ۳۸۴
- ۳۸۵ 15. Soleimani M, Arzani A, Arzani V, Roberts T H. Phenolic compounds and antimicrobial
 ۳۸۶ properties of mint and thyme. Journal of Herbal Medicine. 2022;36: 100604.
 ۳۸۷
- ۳۸۸ 16. Sadeghi Z, Valizadeh J, Azizian Shermeh O. Study of total phenolic and flavonoid contents
 ۳۸۹ and antioxidant activity of Baneh (*Pistacia atlantica*) gum, from Saravan region, Sistan and
 ۳۹۰ Baluchestan province. Ecophytochemistry Journal of Medicinal Plants. 2015; 3(2): 18-27.
- ۳۹۱ 17. Aminnezhad S, Kasra Kermanshahi R, Mirzaei M, Rashidiani J. Effectiveness of *Malva*
 ۳۹۲ *sylvestris* extract on growth and biofilm formation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. New Journal of
 ۳۹۳ Molecular Cell Biotechnology. 2017; 7(28): 33-41.
- ۳۹۴ 18. Dashti-Zadeh F, Mahmoodi M M. The Effect of aqueous and alcoholic extracts of Thyme,
 ۳۹۵ Eucalyptus, Chamomile and Fennel on virulence factors of *Escherichia coli*, the causative agent
 ۳۹۶ of urinary tract infection. Journal Alborz University of Medical Sciences. 2017; 6(4): 268-257.
- ۳۹۷ 19. Masoumipour F, Hassan Shahian M, Sasan H, Jafari Nasab T. Investigating the antibacterial
 ۳۹۸ effect of the combined extract of three plants, black pepper and green tea, on antibiotic-resistant
 ۳۹۹ pathogenic bacteria. Iranian Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2019; 13(2): 114-124.
- ۴۰۰ 20. Mazarai A, Mousavi-Nik M, Fahmideh L. Assessments of phenolic, flavonoid and antioxidant
 ۴۰۱ activity of aqueous, alcoholic, methanol and acetone extracts of thirteen medicinal plants. New
 ۴۰۲ findings in biological sciences. 2018; 4(4): 299-309.
- ۴۰۳ 21. Hanachi P, Sehat R, Ramezani R. Extraction and comparison of some biochemical compounds
 ۴۰۴ of *Melissa officinalis* leaves and *Impatiens walleriana* leaves and flowers. NavidNo. 2022; 25(81):
 ۴۰۵ 25-36.
- ۴۰۶ 22. Bonyadian M, Khalili Sadrabad E, Askari A, Pourmoghadas M. Effect of peppermint essential
 ۴۰۷ oil on growth and survival of some foodborne pathogenic bacteria. Journal of Food Hygiene. 2014;
 ۴۰۸ 4(14): 53-61.
- ۴۰۹ 23. Boroumand A, Hamedi M, Imamjome Z, Razavi Sh. Investigation on the antimicrobial effect
 ۴۱۰ of caseinate edible film containing the essential oil of *Zataria multiflora*. Journal of Food
 ۴۱۱ Sciences and Technology. 2013; 10(41): 13-21.

24. Akhavan F, Tahmazi Didehban S, Hojjati M. Antibacterial effects of thyme, savory, rosemary, mint and peppermint essential oils on five Gram-positive bacteria in comparison with effects of three antibiotics on the bacteria. *Journal of Nutritional Sciences and Food Technology of Iran*. 2020 Apr; 3(15): 97-103.
25. Mahdavi M, Kasra Kermanshahi R, Jalali M. The assessment of disinfectants on various bacterial biofilms. *Research Journal of University of Isfahan Science*. 2008; 31(2):35-46.
26. Chengrong L, Huan L, Wenden S, Song C, Qingping Z. Antibiofilm activities of the cinnamon extract against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *Escherichia coli*. *Archives of Microbiology*. 2020; 203(1): 125-135.
27. Hyung Lee J, Seob Cho H, Woo Joo S, Chandra Regmi S, Ae Kim J, Min Ryu C, *et al*. Diverse plant extracts and trans-resveratrol inhibit biofilm formation and swarming of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Biofouling*. 2013; 29(10): 1189- 1203.
28. Cabarkapa I, Colovic R, Duragic O, Popovic S, Kokic B, Milanov D, *et al*. Anti-biofilm activities of essential oils rich in carvacrol and thymol against *Salmonella enteritidis*. *Biofouling*. 2019; 35(3): 361-375.
29. Davila-Avina J, Gil-Solis C, Merino-Mascorro J, Garcia S, Heredia N. Phenolics with bactericidal activity alter motility and biofilm formation in enterotoxigenic, enteropathogenic, and enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. *Foodborne pathogens and Diseases*. 2020; 17(9): 568-575.
30. Guo W, Xu Y, Yang Y, Xiang J, Chen J, Luo D, *et al*. Antibiofilm effects of oleuropein against *Staphylococcus aureus*: An In Vitro Study. *Foods*. 2023; 12(23): 4301-4309.