.22043/MI.2022.358524.1216



New species of family Saprolegniaceae in Mazandaran, Iran

B. Roohvarzi

M. A. Tajick Ghanbary 🖾

S. A. Mahdian

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agronomic Sciences, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Sari, Iran

Y. Ghosta

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

Abstract: Oomycete species occupy many different environments and many ecological niches. The family Saprolegniaceae from Oomycota includes widely distributed water molds which usually behave as saprophytes on plants and animal debris. Members of some species may also be pathogenic for plants and fish. Oomycete species identification based on DNA is well established, but DNA barcoding with cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COXI) and II (COX II) are a relatively new approach. In this study, 57 isolates were obtained from water samples in mazandaran province, Iran. After morphological identification bv morphological keys, ITS, COXI, and COX II gene regions of 10 representatives of the isolates were sequenced and 3 genera and 6 species (Newbya recurva, Achlya bisexualis, Dictyuchus monosporus, Saprolegnia ferax, S. bulbosa, and S. debaryana) were identified through BLASTn in NCBI gene bank. The results described in this paper were indicated that except for ITS. COXI and COXII sequencing could also be valuable resources to Saprolegniaceae identification. Except for S. ferax, other described species were new reports for oomycete biota of Iran.

Keywords: *Oomycota*, water molds, cytochrome c oxidase, plant pathogens, ITSrDNA, *Saprolegniaceae*.

INTRODUCTION

http://mij.areeo.ac.ir

The fungi and oomycetes live in most ecological niches (Alexopoulos et al. 1996), especially oomycetes as water molds, live in fresh and salt waters (Emerson & Natvig 1981). Most saprophytic oomycetes decompose dead plant and animal debris, so they have an important role in the materials cycle in their habitat (Dick 1990). Some pathogenic species infect some rotifers, nematodes, mosquitos' larvae, crabs, fish eggs, and even fish (Alexopoulos et al. 1996).

The family Saprolegniaceae includes widelv distributed water molds which usually behave as saprophytes on plants and animal debris, or are parasitic. This family is eucarpic, monoecious or dioecious organisms (Lecler et al. 2000, Johnson et al. 2002). The classic taxonomy of Saprolegniaceae is based on main morphological characteristics such as vegetative or sexual and asexual structures (Dick 1969, Seymour 1970, Willoughby 1978, Leclerc et al. 2000). Unfortunately, most isolates have some sexual or asexual reproduction problems with axenic media (Hatai et al. 1990, Diéguez-Uribeondo et al. 2007), some isolates need a long time to produce immature morphological structures (Leclerc et al. 2000).

Modes of zoospore discharge are the main feature for the identification of the *Saprolegniaceae* genera. In genus *Saprolegnia* spp., zoospores release slowly, but in *Achlya* spp. zoospores aggregate at the top of the zoosporangium and then release and swim (Markovskaja 2007).

Generally, molecular methods can be used for identification of Saprolegnia species (Beakes & Ford 1983, Molina et al. 1995). A large set of molecular evaluations of the Saprolegniaceae has been developed by Laclerc et al. (2000) on ITS and 28S regions of 40 species and 10 genera. Most Iranian studies on oomycetes focused on plant pathogens (Hatamian 2009, Nejadsattari 2000, Mousavi et al. 2009, Shahbazian et al. 2010, Nekuie Fard et al. 2011).Few taxonomic studies on Oomycota, especially Saprolegniales published in domestic journals and conferences. From the best examples of Iranian approaches to water molds are Masigol et al. (2018) and Bolboli & Mostowfizadeh-Ghalamfarsa (2019). We sought to evaluate the best possible identification methods as well as to identify species in these areas therefore we isolated and identified water mold of Mazandaran Province in the Caspian seasides as a rainy region with different rivers and many local small dams and dykes. This survey utilized morphological keys and molecular methods for isolate identification.

Submitted 5 July 2021, accepted for publication 28 September 2021 ^{I Corresponding} Author: E-mail: m.tajick@gmail.com © 2021, Published by the Iranian Mycological Society

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling isolation, purification, and maintenance of isolate

All water samples were collected from Caspian Sea coasts, different rivers, streams, pools, and surface wells of various regions in Mazandaran in 2013-2014. The water samples were transferred to the lab and maintained at room temperature. Seeds of hemp, sesame, corn, and wheat were used as baits in 8 cm Petri plates to isolate water molds from collected waters. After the growth of mycelia around baits, were washed with distilled water, transferred into streptomycin (30 μ g/ml) containing WA, then subjected to the hyphal tip method to purify isolates in PDA. The harvested isolates were maintained at 4°C for long-term storage and subcultured every 1-3 months to refresh cultures if it was necessary. **Morphological identification**

The 5 mm agar disks of purified isolates were transferred to Petri plates containing sterile seeds in distilled water to produce sexual and asexual structures. Most morphological features surveyed according to the taxonomic keys, (Johnson et al. 2002, Khulbe 2002). Microscopic studies like photography and drawings fulfilled by Nikon E600 microscope appointed to digital photography and drawing tube.

Molecular studies

Ten representatives of 57 identified isolates (Table 1) by morphological methods were subjected to DNA

extraction (Petrisko et al. 2008) and amplified with ITS1, ITS4 (White et al. 1990), OomCOXI-Levup, and Fm85mod (Robideau et al. 2011) and coxR and coxF (Hudspeth et al. 2000) primers. Thermocycler program for amplification of the ITS region was: 94°C for 5 min of initial denaturation followed by 32 cycles of 94°C for 60 s, 58°C for 60 s, 72°C for 60 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Thermocycler program for amplification of the cox1 and 2 regions was: 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s. A final extension step was made at 72°C for 10 min. After electrophoresis of PCR products on 2% agarose and staining with Ethidium bromide, photography of gels were fulfilled by Kodak gel logic 200. The PCR products were sequenced by Bioneer, South Korea. Generated sequences in this study, were edited manually and adjustments were made where necessary in the BioEdit v.7.0.5.2 (Hall 1999). The resulted sequences were subjected to NCBI BLASTn (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) to confirm their identities and comparison with the previously reported isolates available in the NCBI.

Obtained sequences in this study were deposited in the GenBank nucleotide database and accession numbers have been recorded. All sequences were aligned by using the CLUSTAL-W program (Kumar et al. 2016).

Table 1. Representative isolates from Mazandaran (Iran), used in this study and their GenBank accession numbers for ITS-rDNA and COXI and COXII regions.

Isolate	Collection	Time of sampling	Species	GenBank accession numbers		
	locale			ITS	COXI	COXII
U11A	Sari	January, 2013	A. conspicua	KM272002	KM888097	KM888100
Sorec2A	Sari	December, 2012	A. flagellata	KM289007	KM888093	KM888101
Tajan2A	Sari	May, 2013	A. bisexualis	KM289008	KM888096	KM888102
Old	Sari	September, 2012	N. recurva	KF225572	KM888089	-
Beh8	Behshahr	December, 2012	A. bisexualis	KF225573	KM888091	KM888103
Daz2A	Sari	May, 2013	D. monosporus	KM289009	KM888095	KM888104
Dic1A	Amol	May, 2013	D. monosporus	KM289010	KM888094	KM888105
Ch1	Chalus	January, 2013	S. bulbosa	KF225574	KM888092	KM888099
Beh3	Behshahr	December, 2012	S. ferax	KF225575	KM361513	KM888106
K6	Kiasar	January, 2013	S. ferax	KF225576	KM888090	KM888098

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study is one of the limited the molecular identification of the *Saprolegniaceae* members in Iran. A few study in Iran used the ITS region to research taxonomy information of *Saprolegniacea* (Masigol et al. 2018 and 2020).

A total of 450 water samples were collected from several regions in Mazandaran Province. One hundred fifty-seven water samples were included in water mold hyphae, and finally, based on morphological characteristics of 57 studied isolates and sequence data obtained from ITS-rDNA, *COXI* and *COXII* from representatives of the isolates (Table 1), six species belonging to Saprolegniaceae were identified. Based available the literature, among on the species, Saprolegnia *ferax* (Gruith.) Kütz..was reported for the oomycete biota of Iran by Tajick-Ghanbary et al. (2008), but five other species, including Newbya recurva (Cornu) M. W. Dick & Mark A. Spencer, Achlya bisexualis Coker and A. Couch, Dictyuchus monosporus Leitgeb, Saprolegnia bulbosa Steciow, and S. debaryana Humphery were new reports for oomycete biota of Iran, which are described here.

Phylogenetic analysis

BLASTn alignment on NCBI revealed that the ITS and COXI sequences for representative isolates had the highest similarity with the our 10 representative isolates in accordance with morphological identification. Because there is not enough data support in the gene banks in the COXI and COXII regions, it was decided to draw a phylogenetic tree only for the ITS region (Fig. 1). Analysis of the ITSrDNA region (Fig. 1) showed that 3 clades were distinguished from outgroups (Albugo candida HQ643111 and Phytophthora infestans CBS 120920). The identified isolates U11A and Sorec2A, Tajan2, Beh8, and Old clustered with Achlya conspicua, A. flagellata, A. debaryana, A. bisexualis, and N. recurve by 99% bootstrap support (Clade I). Results of previous studies have revealed that the species in this clade have nearly identical ITS sequences also, indicated the Achlya is not always a monophyletic unit, however, their identification should be based on the morphological characters. (Green & Dick 1972, Dick 1999, Riethmüller et al. 1999, Leclerc et al. 2000). Isolates Daz2A and Dic1A were well clustered with those of D. monosporus with 100% bootstrap support (Clade II). Also isolates Beh3, Ch1 and K8 were clustered with those of S. ferax and S. bulbosa with 100% bootstrap support (Clade III). According to the classical descriptions and illustrations in Johnson et al. (2002), it also appeared that S. bulbosa (Steciow et al. 2007) descriptions can fit into the description of S. ferax even with varying sizes of some particles. S. ferax and S. bulbosa and Ch1, Beh3 and K6 isolates can be members of a phylogenetic group with a name that is discussed in detail at the end of S. bulbosa description.

Saprolegnia ferax (Gruith.) Kütz

This species first was reported in Iran by Tajik-Ghanbari et al. (2008), although in this report, the shape of the oogonium was described as only spherical but we reported spherical, obpyriform, napiform or obovate. The size of sporangia was reported 18-67 × 31-624 μ m (Johnson et al. 2002), 15-35 × 180-350 μ m (Khulbe 2001), 20-45 × 80-300 μ m (Markovskaja et al. 2006), and 46.51 μ m (average diameter) (Bolboli & Mostowfizadeh-Ghalamfarsa 2019) whereas, our report (15-28 × 30-540 μ m) is almost equal with Johnson et al. (2002) and Khulbe (2001). Also, the size of oogonia was reported 60-80 μ m (lowest 28 and highest 194) (Johnson et al. 2002) and 50-100 μ m (Markovskaja et al. 2006), which is consistent with this report. Khulbe (2002) and Markovskaja et al. (2006)

reported sporangia abundance, while Johnson et al. (2002) reported low sporangium number as the present report. Khulbe (2002) and Markovskaja et al. (2006) saw antheridia commonly. But, in this report they were seen occasionally as Johnson et al. (2002) reported. Khulbe (2001) cited the type of antheridium androgynous whereas, Johnson et al. (2002) and Markovskaja et al. (2006), such as present study, reported monoclinous or androgynous (Fig. 3, a5) and sometimes diclinous (Fig. 3, a4) but Bolboli & Mostowfizadeh-Ghalamfarsa et al. (2019) reported only monoclinous antheridia. Johnson et al. (2002) and Markovskaja et al. (2006), the same as present study, reported centric or subcentric oospores, and Khulbe (2001) only reported centric, but Bolboli & Mostowfizadeh-Ghalamfarsa et al. (2019) did not report that as one of the most important features of this species. K6 and Beh3 isolates, in ITS analysis, with accession numbers KF225576 and KF225575, respectively, COXI analysis with accession numbers KM888090 and KM361513 respectively and COXII with accession numbers KM888098 and KM888106 respectively, placed in S. ferax isolates.

Saprolegnia bulbosa Steciow 2007

These isolates were monoecious, and mycelium was stout. The hyphae were more or less branched. Sporangia were mostly cylindrical (Fig. 3, b1), filiform or naviculate; $20-40 \times 208-560 \ \mu\text{m}$. Discharge and behavior were saprolegnoid. Gemmae were variable in shape, single (Fig. 3, b2), or catenulate. Oogonia were abundant, terminal, lateral (Fig. 3, b4 & b5), rarely intercalary; spherical and pyriform; 31-86 µm in diameter. The oogonial wall was pitted (Fig. 3, b3) and oogonial stalks were different and occasionally bent, curved or coiled. Oospores were subcentric (Fig. 2, b3) and rarely centric; 3-13 per oogonium, 14-26 µm in diameter; there were also unmatured oospores. Antheridial branches were not mostly persistent, monoclinous (Fig. 2, b5), androgynous (Fig. 2, b4), and sometimes diclinous. Steciow et al. (2007) named this species based on bulbous (swollen) basis in most of the oogonia, multiple hyphal swellings in the mycelium, and numerous bulbous antheridial branches. Also, these features were observed in the present survey, although not very distinct in the drawings. Sporangia size was $25-41 \times 255-521 \mu m$ and the diameter of the oogonia is 97-45 µm, the diameter of the oospores is 15-35 µm and the number of oospores is 2-15, while only oogonium and oospores slightly were bigger than those reported by Steciow et al. (2007), it was consistent with the present research.



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic positions of isolates based on ITS rDNA region. It showed the Neighbor-Joining tree. All bootstrap values are indicated at 1000 repetitions (values smaller than 50% not shown).

A BLASTn search yielded in ITS the nearest identity with S. ferax (NTF-2A-16) and (99.58%) with S. (AY267011). bulbosa It seems that S. bulbosa (Steciow et al. 2007) descriptions can fit into the description of S. ferax species even with varying sizes according to the classical descriptions and illustrations in Johnson et al. (2002) book. Finally, it can be determined whether the isolate can be introduced as a new species, or should be named as S. ferax with help of molecular markers. In recent years, the same difference in conclusions has prompted researchers to introduce new examples to the world that may not be endorsed by other colleagues. We have described these two species separately based on the morphological differences. This is the first report of this species for Iran. This is the first report of this species for Iran.

Dictyuchus monosporus Leitgeb 1969

These isolates were dioecious. Mycelia were slender to stout. hyphae were more or less branched (Fig. 3, a5). Sporangia were abundant, mostly elongate-cylindrical (Fig. 3, a1-3) to elongate-narrowly clavate and sometimes catenulate (Fig. 3, a4); $12-36 \times 55-833 \,\mu\text{m}$. Discharge and behavior were dictyucoid (Fig. 3, a7). Gemmae and sexual structures were absent. Johnson et al. (2002) reported that gemmae were not present or rarely seen and sporangia size was $10-40 \times 60-780 \ \mu m$ which was consistent with the present report. However, catenulate sporangia were typically observed for the first time. In Khulbe's (2001) explanations, the sporangia size was $9-50 \times 135-1000$ μm, which was larger than the present studies's results, and Khulbe's (2001) reported gemmae and sexual organs had not been seen which is consistent with the present report. In ITS sequencing research, Daz2A and Dic1A isolates were registered with accession numbers KM289009 and KM289010, COXI accession numbers KM888095 and KM888094 and COXII accession numbers KM888104 and KM888105 that placed in the same isolates.

Achlya bisexualis Coker and A. Couch 1927

These isolates were dioecious but capable of selfconjugation and some degree of interspecific compatibility. Hyphae were branched. Sporangia were fusiform and occasionally cylindrical; $20-40 \times 85-410$ µm. Discharge and behavior were Achlyoid (Fig. 3, b1 & b5). Gemmae were abundant and variable in shape, mostly single and terminal. The oogonia were lateral (Fig. 3, b2 & b7), sometimes terminal; spherical, obpyriform or oval; 55-127 µm in diameter. The oogonial wall was not pitted, and oogonial stalks were different. Oospores were eccentric; 8-12 per oogonium, 10-25 µm in diameter; antheridial branches arose from one thallus or in self-conjugating strains in diclinous (Fig. 3, b2 & b4) and abundantly branched; often were wrapped about the oogonium and its attendant hypha or stalks (Fig. 3, b2 & b4). Johnson et al. (2002) reported sporangia size is $25-45 \times 110-400$ um, oogonia size is (35-) 60-75 (-130) um and oospores were 22-26 µm in diameter and 5-10 number which oospores was slightly larger



Fig. 2. a. *Saprolegnia ferax* a1. Internal proliferation in sporangium, a2. Single and catenulate gemmae, a3. Large sporangium with large exit tubes, a4. Oogonium and centric and sub-eccentric oospores and diclinous antheridium and a5. Oogonium with eccentric oospores and androgynous antheridium. a6. Oogonium with oospores, a7. Sporangium when spores discharged, and a8. Oogonia. b. *Saprolegnia bulbosa* b1. Sporangium, b2. Catenulate gemmae, b3. Oogonium and subeccentric oospores, b4. Oogonia and androgynous and monoclinous antheridia, and b5. Oogonium and monoclinous antheridium. — Scale bars a1, a2, b1 and b2 = 250 μ m and Scale bars a3-8 and b3-6 = 70 μ m.



Fig. 3. a. *Dictyuchus monosporus* a1. Single-row sporangium, a2. Multi-row sporangia with empty and filled nets, a3. Multi-row sporangium with degraded portions of the wall. a4. Catenulate sporangia, a5. Sporangia, a6. Large sporangium, and a7. Sporangium with a network of cysts that some of the cysts are discharged and the zoospores emerging from the cysts. b. *Achlya bisexualis* b1. Sporangium, b2. Oogonium and diclinous antheridium, b3. Twisting hyphae around the mycelium, b4. Oogonium and diclinous antheridium and its attendant hyphae. b5. Sporangium and accumulation of cystic zoospores, b6. twisting hyphae around the mycelium, and b7. Oogonia and old antheridia. — Scale bars $a1-7 = 100 \mu m$, Scale bars $b2 = 70 \mu m$, and Scale bars b1, b3, b4, b5, b6 and $b7 = 250 \mu m$.

on average and their number was lower compared to the present report but overall the morphological identification of this species was consistent with Johnson et al. (2002).

It is interesting to note that the description of *Achlya bisexualis* is not found in Khulbe's (2001) identification key. A BLASTn search of Tajan2A isolate yielded the nearest identity (99.30%) with VKM F-1904 (*Achlya debaryana*) and (99.04%) ATCC 11399 (*Achlya ambisexualis*) and Beh8 isolate (100%) with AB/UK/03/2020 (*Achlya bisexualis*) respectively.

Newbya recurva (Cornu) M. W. Dick & Mark A. Spencer 2002

These isolates were monoecious. Mycelium was stout and moderately branched. Appendages were formed on hyphae sometimes changed into antheridial branches (Fig. 4, a6). Sporangia were clavate, fusiform, or cylindrical; 15-28 \times 30-540 μ m. Discharge and behavior were Achlyoid (Fig. 4, a1). Gemmae were rare (Fig. 4, a2). The oogonia were lateral and rarely terminal (Fig. 4, a3-4 & a6-7) or intercalary (Fig. 4, a5); spherical or oval; 38-86 μ m in diameter.

The oogonial wall was pitted and truncated (Fig. 4, a3-7). Oospores were eccentric (Fig. 4, a4); 1-9 per oogonium, 20-28 µm in diameter; Antheridial branches were androgynous (Fig. 4, a6-7), occasionally monoclinous (Fig. 4, a4) and rarely diclinous and abundantly branched (Fig. 4, a6). Johnson et al. (2002) reported sporangium was 8-81 2 81-820 µm, which was larger than the present report and the oogonia and oospores were (28-) 35-50 (-124) and (14-) 20-26 (-38) µm, respectively and oospore number was 4-8 and no gemmae was found that to correspond to this report. Khulbe (2002) reported sporangia as clavate but we found different types in our study and sporangia size $20-25 \times 126-300$ µm, which was smaller than the present report. Khulbe (2001) pointed to the presence of gemmae in his studies, but in the present report is rarely seen, as Johnson et al. (2002). Khulbe (2001) reported the oogonia were 25.8 - 64.8 µm in diameter, also a little smaller, and the oogonia were round.

Spencer et al. (2002) reported abundant oogonia, as much as (20-) 66-72 (-143) μ m, 2-6 oospores, (11-) 22-28 (-46) in diameter, zoosporangium was formed rarely and their size was (149-) 250-465 (-741) × (12-) 16-40 (-53) and antheridium was monoclinous and rarely diclinous but based on our observations the average number of oospores was higher, the size of the

oosporangia was smaller and androgynous type antheridium was observed. A BLASTn search of Old isolate yielded the nearest identity (98.80%) with AF218152 (*Newbya recurva*).

Achlya debaryana Humphery 1893

These isolates were monoecious. Mycelium was stout and moderately branched (Fig. 4, b3). Sporangia were abundant and branched, clavate, fusiform or cylindrical and other shapes; $12-45 \times 70-1100 \ \mu m$. Discharge and behavior were Achlyoid (Fig. 4, b1-3). Gemmae were absent. Oogonia were lateral (Fig. 4, b4-5) and rarely terminal or intercalary; spherical or obpyriform; 45-120 µm in diameter. Oospores were eccentric (Fig. 4, b6); 3-17 per oogonium, 18-25 µm in diameter; Antheridial branches were abundantly branched (Fig. 4, b9), monoclinous (Fig. 4, b5) and occasionally diclinous (Fig. 4, b7) or androgynous (Fig. 4, b4). In Johnson et al. (2002) report sporangia were $10-53 \times 78-1125 \ \mu\text{m}$, oogonia were 45-70 μm and oospores were 20-28 µm and there were 2-14 oospores per oogonium, which is largely consistent with this report. They have rarely reported androgynous antheridial branches, whereas we observed this type of branching. Khulbe (2001) reported an abundance of gemmae, while we did not see any gemmae.

According to Khoulbe (2001) data, oogonium was 47-61.4 μ m with 2 eccentric oospores, and with androgynous antheridium but according to our data the oogonium was larger with 3–17 eccentric oospores and antheridium (if present) was monoclinous and sometimes diclinous or androgynous.

The ITS sequences research revealed that the U11 isolate 98.64% similar to Achlya was caroliniana (CCIBt 2017), 99.04% to Achlya oviparvula (CCIBt 3996), 99.72% to Achlya conspicua (CBS10337) and 99.58% to Achlya bisexualis (CBS10350). The Sorek2A isolate was 98.47% similar to Achlya debaryana (VKM F-1904) and 98.33% similar to Achlya caroliniana (CCIBt 3870). Johnson et al. (2002) elaborated that both A. conspicua and A. flagellate are the same as A. debaryana, and all the morphological and molecular evidence supported this. Although Markovskaja (2004) opposed the species description and integration cited earlier reports of Johnson et al. (1956).

This report attempted to document both species in the form of *A. debaryana* for Iran, relying on the proper description and justification of the species (Fig. 1).



Fig. 4. a. *Newbya recurva* a1. Sporangium, a2. Single intercalary gemmae, a3. Oogonium and eccentric oospores and androgynous antheridium, a4. Oogonium and eccentric oospores and monoclinous antheridium, and a5. Intercalary oogonium and immature oospores. a6 and a7. Oogonium and oospores and androgynous antheridia. b. *Achlya debaryana* b1 & b2. Sporangium renewed basipetalous b3. Sporangium renewed cymosely, b4. Oogonium and androgynous antheridium, b5. Oogonium and monoclinous antheridium, b6. Oogonium and eccentric oospores, and b7. Oogonium and diclinous antheridium. b8. Sporangium and accumulation of cystic zoospores and b9. Oogonia with monoclinous antheridia and multiple hyphae branches. — Scale bars a1 and b1-3 = 250 μ m, a2, a5, b4 and b9 = 100 μ m, a3, a4, a6, a7 and b6 = 20 μ m, b5 and b7 = 70 μ m.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the esteemed members of the Department of Plant Protection, Sari Agricultural University, as well as all the dear ones who assisted us in any data collection and research advancement.

REFERENCES

- Alexopoulos CJ, Mims, CW, Blackwell M. 1996. Introductory mycology. John Wiley and Sons. 880 p.
- Beakes G, Ford H. 1983. Esterase isoenzyme variation in the genus Saprolegnia, with particular reference to the fish-pathogenic S. diclina-parasitica

complex. Journal of General Microbiology 129: 2605-2619.

- Bolboli Z, Mostowfizadeh-Ghalamfarsa R. 2019. Isolation and identification of aquatic saprolegnious stramenopiles in Fars and Kermanshah provinces (west of Iran). Rostaniha 20(1): 14-28.
- Dick MW. 1969. Morphology and taxonomy of the Oomycetes, with special reference to Saprolegniaceae, Leptomitaceae and Pythiaceae. New Phytologist 68: 751-775.
- Dick MW. 1990. Phylum Oomycota. In: Handbook of Protoctista. (L Margulis, JO Corliss, M Melkonian & JD Chapman, eds): 661–685. Jones and Bartlett, Boston.
- Dick MW. 1999. Classification of the Peronosporomycetes. In: Encyclopaedia of Food Microbiology. Vol. 2. (R Robinson, UC Ba & P Patel, eds): 871-88. Academic Press, London.
- Diéguez-Uribeondo J, Fregeneda-Grandes JM, Cerenius L, Pérez-Iniesta E, Aller-Gancedo JM, Tellería MT, Söderhäll K, Martín MP. 2007. Reevaluation of the enigmatic species complex Saprolegnia diclina–Saprolegnia parasitica based on morphological, physiological, and molecular data. Fungal Genetics and Biology 44: 585-601.
- Emerson R, Natvig DO. 1981. Adaptation of fungi to stagnant waters. In: The Fungal Community, Its Organization and Role in the Ecosystem. (DT Wicklow & GC Carroll, eds.): 109-128. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- Green BR, Dick MW. 1972. DNA base composition and the taxonomy of the Oomycetes. Can J Microbiol. 18: 963-968.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. In Nucleic acids symposium series 41: 95-98. [London]: Information Retrieval Ltd., c1979-c2000.
- Hatamian SM. 2009. Isolation and identification of water molds from center region of Mazandaran province. MSc thesis, Islamic Azad University of Damghan, Iran.
- Hudspeth DS, Nadler SA, Hudspeth ME. 2000. A COX II molecular phylogeny of the Peronosporomycetes. Mycologia 92: 674-684.
- Johnson TW. 1956. The genus Achlya: morphology and taxonomy. Univ. Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 180 pp, London.
- Johnson TW, Seymour RL, Padgett DE. 2002. Biology and systematics of the Saprolegniaceae [online]. North Carolina, Wilmington. Available frome:
 - http://dl.uncwil.edu/biology/fungi/taxonomy%20a nd%20systematics/padgett/read%5Ffirst/
- Khulbe RD. 2001. A Manual of Aquatic Fungi: Chytridiomycetes & Oomycetes. Daya Books, 255 pp, India.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and

Evolution 33: 1870-1874.Hatai K, Willoughby L, Beakes G. 1990. Some characteristics of Saprolegnia obtained from fish hatcheries in Japan. Mycological research 94: 182-190.

- Leclerc M, Guillot J, Deville M. 2000. Taxonomic and phylogenetic analysis of Saprolegniaceae (Oomycetes) inferred from LSU rDNA and ITS sequence comparisons. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 77: 369-377.
- Markovskaja S. 2006. SAPROLEGNIACEAE (PERONOSPOROMYCETES) IN LITHUANIA. II. THE GENUS SAPROLEGNIA. Botanica Lithuanica 12(2): 97-112.
- Markovskaja S. 2007. Contribution to the Lithuanian water moulds. New reports of critical Achlya (Saprolegniaceae, Peronosporomycetes) species. Botanica Lithuanica 13(1): 39–44.
- Masigol H, Khodaparast SA, Mostowfizadeh-Ghalamfarsa R, Mousanejad S, Rojas-Jimenez K, Grossart HP. 2018. Notes on Dictyuchus species (Stramenopila, Oomycetes) from Anzali lagoon, Iran. Mycologia Iranica. Dec 1;5(2):79-89.
- Masigol H, Khodaparast S A, Mostowfizadeh-Ghalamfarsa R, Rojas-Jimenez K, Woodhouse J N, Neubauer D and Grossart H P. 2020. Taxonomical and functional diversity of Saprolegniales in Anzali lagoon, Iran. Aquatic Ecology 54(1): 323-336.
- Molina FI, Jong SC, Ma G. 1995. Molecular characterization and identification of Saprolegnia by restriction analysis of genes coding for ribosomal RNA. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 68: 65-74.
- Mousavi H, Soltani M, Khosravi A, Mood S, Hosseinifard M. 2009. Isolation and characterization of Saprolegniaceae from rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) eggs in Iran. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 4: 330-333.
- Nejadsattari T. 2000. Occurrence and distribution of aquatic Saprolegniaceae in the northwest and south of Tehran. Iranian International Journal of Science 2: 91-98.
- Nekuie Fard A, Motalebi A, Jalali Jafari B, Aghazadeh Meshgi M, Azadikhah D, Afsharnasab M. 2011. Survey on fungal, parasites, and epibionts infestation on the Astacus leptodactylus (Eschscholtz, 1823), in Aras Reservoir West Azarbaijan, Iran. Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences 10: 266-275.
- Petrisko JE, Pearl CA, Pilliod DS, Sheridan PP, Williams CF, Peterson CR, Bury RB. 2008. Saprolegniaceae identified on amphibian eggs throughout the Pacific Northwest, USA, by internal transcribed spacer sequences and phylogenetic analysis. Mycologia 100: 171-180.
- Riethmüller A, Weiss M, Oberwinkler F. 1999. Phylogenetic studies of Saprolegniomycetidae and related groups based on nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. Can. J. Bot 77: 1790-1800.
- Robideau GP, De C, Wam A, Coffey MD, Voglmayr H, Brouwer H, Bala K, Chitty DW, Desaulniers N,

Eggertson QA. 2011. DNA barcoding of oomycetes with cytochrome c oxidase subunit I and internal transcribed spacer. Molecular ecology resources 11:

1002-1011.

- Seymour RL. 1970. The genus Saprolegnia. Nova Hedwigia 19: 1-124.
- Shahbazian N, Ebrahimzadeh HA, Mousavi H, Soltani M, Khosravi A, Mirzargar S, Sharifpour I. 2010. Fungal contamination in rainbow trout eggs in Kermanshah province propagations with emphasis on Saprolegniaceae. Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences 9: 151-160.
- Steciow MM, Paul A, Bala K. 2007. Saprolegnia bulbosa sp. nov. isolated from an Argentine stream: taxonomy and comparison with related species. FEMS microbiology letters 268(2):225-30.

- Tajick-Ghanbary M.A, Safdari M, Sharifi R, Abbasi V. 2008. A new Saprolegnia species for mycoflora of Iran. Proceedings of the 18th Iranian Plant Protection Congress, vol. II, 22–27 Aug., Hamedan, Iran: 424.
- White TJ, Bruns TD, Lee SB, Taylor JW 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sininsky JJ, White TJ (eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 315–322
- Willoughby L. 1978. Saprolegnias of salmonid fish in Windermere: a critical analysis. Journal of Fish Diseases 1: 51-67.

جداسازی و شناسایی قارچ_های آبزی (خانواده Saprolegniaceae) استان مازندران

باقر روح ورزی' ، محمد علی تاجیک قنبری⊠ ،صفر علی مهدیان' و یوبرت قوستا ً

۱-گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشگاه علوم کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی ساری، مازندران، ایران.
 ۲-گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه ارومیه، ارومیه، ایران.

چکیده: گونه های متعلق به آامیستها بسیاری از مناطق و آشیان های بومشناختی را اشغال کردهاند. خانواده Saprolegniaceae متعلق به شاخهی Oomycota، شامل گونههای زیادی از کپک های آبزی است که معمولا روی بقایای گیاهان و جانوران رفتار پودهرستی دارند. اعضای برخی از این گونه ها همچنین می توانند بیمارگر گیاهان و ماهی ها باشند. استفاده از DNA برای شناسایی گونه های اآامیستها به خوبی رایج شده است اما بارکد گذاری DNA با زیرواحد یک و دو سیتوکروم اکسیداز سی (COXI و IN COX) روش نسبتا جدیدی است. در این بررسی پس از شناسایی ریختشناختی با کلیدهای معتبر، نواحی کو دو سیتوکروم اکسیداز سی (COXI و IN COX) روش نسبتا جدیدی است. در این بررسی پس از شناسایی ریختشناختی با کلیدهای معتبر، نواحی DNA *COXI ITS-r*DNA و IN COXI و Saprolegnia ferax که نماینده سه جنس و شش گونه (Achiya interduce) در این بررسی این موضوع را تایید می کند که توالی یابی ناحیههای INS و COXI منبع مناسب برای رده بندی اعلی می کند که توالی یابی ناحیههای ISA و COXI و داده های ایجاد شده در کنار ناحیه ی Saprolegnia به غونه دیگر برای زمی تواند یک در این بررسی این موضوع را تایید می کند که توالی یابی ناحیههای ISA و COXI و COXI و COXI و COXI و COXI و COXI و IN

كلمات كليدى: أأميستها، كيك هاى آبزى، سيتوكروم اكسيداز سى، بيمار گرهاى گياهى، Saprolegniaceae

76

مکاتبه کننده: محمد علی تاجیک قنبری Email: m.tajick@gmail.com تاریخ دریافت: ۱۴۰۰/۴/۱۴ تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۴۰۰/۱۶