Journal of Medicinal Plants and By-products (2015) 1: 13-24

Original Article

Comparative Analysis of Intra-and Inter Populational Heterogeneity of the Essential Oils in White Savory Plants

Ehsan Karimi¹, Azim Ghasemnezhad¹, Mansour Ghorbanpour^{2*} and Javad Hadian³

¹Department of Horticulture, Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Iran ²Department of Medicinal Plants, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Arak University, Arak, 38156-8-8349, Iran.

³Medicinal Plants and Drug Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University, G.C., Evin, 1483963113 Tehran, Iran

Article History: Received: 23 September 2014/Accepted in revised form: 30 May 2015 © 2013 Iranian Society of Medicinal Plants. All rights reserve

Abstract

White savory (*Satureja mutica* Fisch & C.A.Mey.) is one of the most widely used medicinal plants in food processing, pharmaceutical and cosmetic industry due to the strongly scented and presence of phenolic compounds such as carvacrol and thymol. This experiment was carried out to evaluate the levels of inter and intra-populations variability of essential oil compositions of *S. mutica* grown in north of Iran. The essential oil was extracted by hydro-distillation method and analyzed using GC-FID and GC-MS apparatus. The results showed a high level of variation among individual plants of the studied populations based upon their essential oil production. The essential oil content ranged from 0.5 to 4.2%. thymol (6.5-74.6%), carvacrol (0.9-70.4%), borneol (0.1-38.0%), *p*-cymene (0.30-14.2%), and γ -terpinene (0.1-9.9%) were recognized as the major components of the all tested individual plants. Therefore, the variability identified here, might be considered as characterizing the large gene pool for breeding programs to comply the requirements of pharmaceutical and food industries.

Key words: Lamiaceae, Satureja, Essential oils, Population, Individuals, Metabolic variation

Introduction

The genus *Satureja* L., with the common persian name of "Marzeh" belonging to the Lamiaceae family and the Nepetoideae subfamily, has over 30 species that some of them are annual and most of species are perennial. These species are mostly native to the eastern Mediterranean region and west Asia, and generally grow in humid areas with deep soil and areas with dry, sunny and rocky soils. More than fourteen wild species are growing in the north, northwest, northeast, southwest and central

parts of Iran. *Satureja mutica* Fisch. & C.A.Mey., is a highly aromatic species growing on calcareous rocks in the northeastern parts of Iran [1].

Like some other savory species, *S. mutica* has been traditionally used as muscle pain reliever, tonic and carminative in treating stomach and intestinal disorders such as cramps, nausea, indigestion and diarrhea [2]. The main phytochemical components of *S. mutica* are phenols, carvacrol, thymol, and flavonoids [3].

The use of secondary metabolites in plant taxonomy is well recognized, as these compounds can sometimes help in taxonomical classification.

*Corresponding author: Department of Medicinal Plants, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Arak University, Arak, Iran

Also, essential oil analysis has been used with success on the study of intra-specific diversity and geographic patterns of variation in several plant species, such as Lamiaceae family: *Salvia fruticosa* Mill., *Ocimum gratissimum* L., *Thymus* L. species, *Cunila incisa* Benth., *Cunila galioides* Benth and Cunila spicata Benth., among the others [3-5].

The analysis of the essential oil composition of several *Satureja* species indicates that they contains phenolic components such as carvacrol, thymol, γ -terpinene, *p*-cymene, β -caryophyllene, linalool and other terpenoids, but chemical composition and the amount of components are distinctive among different *Satureja* species oils [4-6].

The selection of medicinal plants is a conscious process, which has led to medicinal plants being used by the numerous cultures of the world. Understanding of natural variability has been one of the most important issues of the exploration of medicinal plants. The characterization of essential oil diversity is of great commercial importance and offers opportunity to choose plant essential oils with preferential compound for pharmaceutical, perfume and food industries.

It is well known that the chemical constituents of medicinal and aromatic plants, also their biological activities are influenced by genetic and environmental factors [3]. It has been reported that different *Satureja* species represent great variability in the phytochemical constituents [3,6,7]. The aim of the present research was to investigate the chemotypic variability among and within different *S. mutica* populations of Iran.

Material and Methods

Populations and plant materials

The present study was undertaken in order to study the level of essential oil variation among and within populations of S. mutica. The studied populations of S. mutica consisted of seven sampling areas mostly distributed in the north and northeast of Iran (Fig. 1), including Darkesh, Keshanak, Garmabdasht, Manjil, Namnik, Pono and Tangegol. In each site, the individual plants were selected depending on the population size with a minimum distance of 100 m. The aerial parts of plants were harvested when the plants were flowering. The samples were dried in the shade. Geological information and altitude of each sampling area were recorded using a global positioning system (GPS).

Essential oil extraction and analysis procedure

The essential oil of each individual sample was extracted by hydro-distillation for 3 h, using a Clevenger-type apparatus according to the method recommended in British Pharmacopoeia [8]. The isolated oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and stored in tightly closed dark vials at 4 °C until analysis. GC analysis was performed using a Thermoquest-Finnigan Trace gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector (FID). The analysis was carried out on fused silica capillary DB-5 column (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d.; film thickness 0.25 um). The injector and detector temperature were kept at 250 °C and 300 °C, respectively. N₂ was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.1 ml/min; oven temperature program was 60-250 °C at the rate of 4 °C /min and finally held isothermally for 10 min; split ratio was 1:50. GC-MS analysis was carried out by use of Thermoquest-Finnigan Trace GC equipped with fused silica capillary DB-5 column (60 m×0.25 mm i.d.; film thickness 0.25µm) coupled with a TRACE mass (Manchester, UK). Helium was used as carrier gas with ionization voltage of 70 eV. Ion source and interface temperature were 250 °C and 200 °C, respectively. Mass range was from 35 to 456 amu. The oven temperature program was the same as mentioned above for the GC. The constituents of the essential oils were identified by calculating their retention indices under temperatureprogrammed conditions for *n*-alkanes (C6-C24) and the oil on a DB-5 column under the same chromatographic conditions. Identification of individual compounds was made by comparing their mass spectra with those of the internal reference mass spectra library (Wiley 7.0) or with authentic compounds and confirmed by comparing their retention indices with authentic compounds or with those of reported by Adams [9]. For quantification purpose, relative area percentages obtained by FID were used without the use of correction factors.

Statistical analysis

The significance of differences in studied parameters between these populations was analyzed using one-way ANOVA with the Fisher's least significance difference (LSD) at the 0.05 probability level.

Results

Phytochemical heterogeneity

Considrable differences were observed for the essential oil content (w/w, based on dry weight) within and among S. mutica populations. Oil content ranged from 2.4 to 4.61% within Darkesh individuals, 1.61 to 2.59% within Pono individuals, 3.48 to 5% within Keshanak individuals, 0.9 to 2.54% within Garmabdasht individuals, 1.93 to 3.7% within Tangegol individuals, 1.2 to 3% within Namnik individuals and from 0.17 to 1.14% within Manjil individuals (Tables 1-7). Variation of oil content within individuals of the same population could be the consequence of genetic variation within populations [10]. Among populations, the highest (4.22%) and the lowest (0.55%) mean oil content were found in populations of Keshanak and Manjil, respectively. Essential oil constituents

The variability of the essential oil compositions of the fifty-eight individuals from seven populations of S. mutica were separately presented in tables 1-7. Considerable variation was observed among and within populations for the main components of the essential oils (thymol; 6.51-74.64%, carvacrol; 0.9-70.4%), borneol; 0.1-38.0%, p-cymene; 0.3-14.2% and γ -terpinene; 0.0-9.9%). Although, the highest amount of thymol was obtained in the Pono population, the wide range of its variability was observed in individuals of Namnik. Similarly, individuals of Manjil population showed a high variation of carvacrol (1.5-57.6%), however, the maximum mean value (70.4%) of this phenol was observed in Tangegol population. Chemical structure of some major constituents of S. mutica essential oils are presentd in Fig. 2.



Fig. 1 Map of distribution of the studied *Satureja mutica* Fisch & C.A.Mey. populations. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 on the map are the populations of Darkesh; Pono; Keshanak; Garmabdasht; Tangegol; Namnik and Manjil, respectively.

| Na | Compound | | Individuals | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------------|----------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|----------------------|
| NO | Compound | RI^{a} | Darl | Dar2 | Dar3 | Dar4 | Dar5 | Dar6 | Dar7 | Dar8 | Dar9 | Dar10 | Mean±SD ^c |
| 1 | α-Thujune | 931 | _b | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5±0.3 |
| 2 | α-Pinene | 941 | - | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4±0.2 |
| 3 | Camphene | 957 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 1.0 | 0.2±0.3 |
| 4 | β-pienene | 986 | - | 1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 1.0±0.7 |
| 5 | Myrcene | 992 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1±0.1 |
| 6 | α-Terpinene | 1022 | - | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0±0.5 |
| 7 | ρ-Cymene | 1029 | 0.2 | 13.4 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 16.2 | 24.9 | 21.9 | 11.4 | 14.7 | 14.9 | 14.2±6.6 |
| 8 | Limonene | 1035 | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.1±0.1 |
| 9 | γ-Terpinene | 1063 | 9.4 | 4.5 | 12.9 | 4.7 | 12.6 | 13.8 | 10.2 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 8.9±3.5 |
| 10 | trans-Sabinene hydrate | 1071 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8±0.2 |
| 11 | Terpinolene | 1093 | 0.6 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2±0.2 |
| 12 | Linalool | 1099 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.2±0.2 |
| 13 | Terpin-4-ol | 1184 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1±0.1 |
| 14 | α-Terpineol | 1201 | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | 0.3 | - | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2±0.1 |
| 15 | cis-Dihydrocarvone | 1206 | 0.2 | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 ± 0.1 |
| 16 | Carvacrol methyl ether | 1245 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6±0.5 |
| 17 | 2,4-diethyl phenol | 1282 | 0.7 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1±0.2 |
| 18 | Thymol | 1290 | 41.4 | 25.2 | 24.2 | 37.8 | 22 | 44.6 | 28.7 | 37.6 | 30.6 | 55.8 | 34.8±10.6 |
| 19 | Carvacrol | 1304 | 38.6 | 48.4 | 42.2 | 37.2 | 34.1 | 3.7 | 30.7 | 37.3 | 42.3 | 10.9 | 32.5±14.2 |
| 20 | Ascaridole | 1316 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5±0.2 |
| 21 | E-Jasmone | 1333 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1±0.1 |
| 22 | Thymol acetate | 1355 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1±0.1 |
| 23 | Carvacrol acetate | 1374 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6±0.2 |
| 24 | β-Caryophyllene | 1437 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1±0.2 |
| 25 | β-Bisabolene | 1515 | 0.8 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 0.2±0.2 |
| 26 | Delta-Cadinene | 1535 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4±0.1 |
| 27 | Spathulenol | 1596 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 0.2±0.2 |
| 28 | Caryophyllene oxide | 1603 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3±0.5 |
| 29 | α-Terpinyl butanoate | 1914 | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 ± 0.1 |
| 30 | trans-β-Terpinyl Butanoate | 1943 | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.3 | 0.3±0.4 |
| | Total | - | 96.6 | 98.0 | 99.5 | 98.3 | 96.5 | 98.6 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 99.6 | 98.3 | 98.4 |
| | Oil content (%) | - | 3.8 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.6±0.6 |

 Table 1 Essential oil constituents of Satureja mutica Fisch & C.A.Mey. individuals from Darkesh population.

a). RI, retention indices relative to C6–C25 *n-alkanes* on the DB-5 column. b) t, trace <0.1%. c) SD: standard deviation

| NT. | Commence | | Individua | als | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
| NU | Compound | RI^{a} | Ponol | Pono2 | Pono3 | Pono4 | Pono5 | Pono6 | Pono7 | Pono8 | Mean±SD |
| 1 | α-Thujune | 931 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.7 | - | 0.3 | 0.4±0.2 |
| 2 | α-Pinene | 941 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | - | 0.2 | 0.3±0.2 |
| 3 | Sabinene | 976 | 0.2 | - | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.16 | - | - | 0.6 | 0.3±0.3 |
| 4 | Myrcene | 992 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 ± 0.4 |
| 5 | ρ-Cymene | 1029 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 18.4 | 6.0 | 15.0 | - | 5.5 | 9.3±5.7 |
| 6 | Limonene | 1035 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 9.2 | - | 5.4 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 4.0±3.1 |
| 7 | γ-Terpinene | 1063 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.2±0.7 |
| 3 | trans-Sabinene hydrate | 1071 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0.1±0.2 |
|) | Linalool | 1099 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.1±0.1 |
| 10 | Borneol | 1174 | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | $0.0{\pm}0.1$ |
| 11 | Terpin-4-ol | 1184 | 3.0 | - | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7±1.3 |
| 12 | cis-Dihydrocarvone | 1206 | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.1±0.1 |
| 13 | Carvacrol methyl ether | 1245 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1 | - | 0.2 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.5±1.2 |
| 4 | Thymol | 1290 | 69.2 | 81.9 | 58.7 | 62.9 | 87.0 | 69.2 | 86.4 | 81.8 | 74.6±11.0 |
| 15 | Carvacrol | 1304 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 0.7 | $0.9{\pm}0.8$ |
| 6 | α-Thujaplicine | 1330 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2±0.2 |
| 7 | β-Caryophyllene | 1437 | - | 0.1 | - | 0.8 | - | - | 1.1 | - | 0.3±0.4 |
| 18 | β-Bisabolene | 1515 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | 0.2 | 0.5 | - | 0.7 | 0.4±0.3 |
| 9 | γ-Cadinene | 1527 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.1 ± 0.1 |
| 20 | Delta-Cadinene | 1535 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.1 | 1.5 | - | 0.3±0.5 |
| 21 | Spathulenol | 1596 | 0.7 | - | 11.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | - | 0.3 | 1.8 ± 4.0 |
| 22 | α -Terpinyl butanoate | 1914 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 0.4 | - | 0.6 ± 1.1 |
| 23 | trans-β-Terpinyl Butanoate | 1943 | - | - | 5.3 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.7±1.9 |
| | Total | - | 99.1 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 99.0 | 99.9 | 99.5 |
| | Oil content (%) | - | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1±0.3 |

Table 2 Essential oil constituents of Satureia mutica Fisch & C A Mey individuals from Pono population

Compound

No

| ividuals | from Kes | hanak poj | pulation. | | | | |
|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|---------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Kesh4 | Kesh5 | Kesh6 | Kesh7 | Kesh8 | Kesh9 | Kesh10 | Mean±SD |
| - | - | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | - | - | 0.3±0.4 |
| - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | - | 0.3±0.3 |
| 0.4 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 ± 0.3 |

Table 3 Essential oil constituents of Satureja mutica Fisch & C.A.Mey. individuals from Keshanak population.

Kesh1

RI^a

Individuals

Kesh2

Kesh3

α-Thujune 1 931 0.3 ---0.3 2 α-Pinene 941 0.3 --3 Sabinene 976 0.7 0.3 0.4 -4 986 0.4 0.8 0.7 0.3 0.1 0.5 0.3 ± 0.3 β-pienene 0.5 ---2.4 1.9 1.3 5 Mvrcene 992 0.3 -0.5 0.9 0.4 -1.0 0.9 ± 0.8 α -Phellandrene 0.2 0.2 0.9 0.2 6 1010 0.9 1.0 1.0 1.6 0.6 ± 0.5 --1.2 7 α-Terpinene 1.4 0.8 ± 0.7 1022 1.0 -1.0 1.7 1.3 ---8 ρ-Cymene 1029 9.4 6.0 12.7 9.4 13.5 20.6 16.7 11.7 14.2 11.1 12.5±4.1 8.5 9 γ-Terpinene 1063 8.5 6.4 6.3 8.5 9.1 17.8 12.7 6.7 14.8 9.9 ± 3.9 trans-Sabinene hydrate 0.8 10 1071 0.7 1.1 0.5 0.7 0.7 1.8 0.8 -0.9 0.8±0.5 11 Terpinolene 1093 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.3 1.6 0.3±0.5 ----12 cis-Sabinene hydrate 0.3 0.3 0.1 0.2±0.1 1102 0.3 0.3 0.2 ----13 Borneol 1174 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.7 0.1 0.4 0.3 ± 0.2 -Carvacrol methyl ether 0.2 ± 0.3 14 1245 0.6 -0.2 0.6 0.4 -0.1 ---15 2.4-diethyl phenol 1.8 0.5 ± 0.8 1282 0.2 --0.2 0.4 2.1 0.6 --16 Thymol 1290 24.9 39.3 27.8 24.9 60.0 7.5 31.8 37.1 20.3 24.1 29.8±13.9 17 Carvacrol 1304 50.4 41.5 47.0 50.4 7.1 39.5 29.5 34.2 49.9 41.8 39.1±13.3 18 β-Caryophyllene 1437 0.1 $0.0{\pm}0.0$ ---------19 β-Bisabolene 1.1 0.9 0.3 ± 0.4 1515 0.6 0.4 ------20 Spathulenol 1596 0.3 0.2±0.2 0.7 0.4 0.1 ------21 Caryophyllene oxide 1603 --0.2 1.7 --1.1 0.3 ± 0.6 ---98.5 Total 98.0 97.8 97.8 98.0 95.4 97.2 98.9 98.2 98.0 97.8 _ 4.9 4.2±0.6 Oil content (%) 4.9 3.9 4.3 3.5 3.6 3.8 4.0 5.0 4.4 -

Individuals No Compound RI^a Garl Gar2 Gar3 Gar4 Gar5 Gar6 Gar7 Mean±SD 1 ρ-Cymene 1029 5.3 9.5 12 13.6 5.7 4.3 7.2 ± 4.8 -2 trans-Sabinene hydrate 1071 9.9 0.5 0.6 0.3 1.6±3.7 ---3 3.5 0.5 1.7 2.1±2.2 cis-Sabinene hydrate 1102 -6.4 1.4 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.3±0.3 4 Borneol 1174 1.0 0.2 0.4 --5 Terpin-4-ol 1184 0.2 0.9 0.4 0.2 1.7 0.5±0.6 --6 p-Cymene-8-ol 1188 2.1 0.6 3.2 1.8 1.1±1.3 ---0.7 7 Thymol methyl ether 1244 0.1 0.6 --0.2 0.2±0.3 -8 Carvacrol methyl ether 1245 1.4 3.4 2.8 2.4 1.0 3.5 2.1±1.3 -9 Thymol 1290 81.9 68.5 63.5 77.8 57.5 79.7 57.2 69.4±10.5 10 Carvacrol 1304 18.2 6.1±6.1 0.9 1.5 7.8 7.8 4.9 1.4 β-Caryophyllene 11 1437 -1.1 1.1 0.1 -2.4 0.7±0.9 -12 β-Bisabolene 1515 0.1 0.9 0.4 3.5 0.1 0.7±1.3 --13 Delta-Cadinene 1535 0.2 0.2 0.1 ± 0.1 -----14 Spathulenol 1596 0.1 0.3 0.8 0.2 0.2 ± 0.3 ---15 Caryophyllene oxide 1603 0.5 1.1 0.2 0.4 0.3 2.1 0.7±0.7 -93.1 92.9 Total 96.2 93.1 91.0 93.7 89.2 93.7 -2.5 1.2 0.9 0.9 2.1 1.5±0.6 Oil content (%) 1.7 1.3 -

Table 4 Essential oil constituents of Satureja mutica Fisch & C.A.Mey. individuals from Garmabdasht population.

Table 5 Essential oil constituents of Satureja mutica Fisch & C.A.Mey. individuals from Tangegol population.

| Ma | Common d | | Individua | als | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|--|--|
| NoCon1β-pi2 ρ -C3β-Pi4Tran4Hyd5Lina6 cis -17Bor8Ten9 cic | Compound | RI^{a} | Tangel | Tange2 | Tange3 | Tange4 | Tange5 | Tange6 | Tange7 | Tange8 | Tange9 | Mean±SD | | |
| 1 | β-pienene | 986 | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.1±0.1 | | |
| 2 | ρ-Cymene | 1029 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | - | 0.1±0.2 | | |
| 3 | β-Phellandrene | 1037 | 0.2 | - | - | - | 1.8 | 0.2 | - | 0.3 | - | 0.3±0.6 | | |
| 4 | <i>Trans</i> -Sabinene Hydrate | 1071 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | - | - | 0.9 | - | $0.4{\pm}0.4$ | | |
| 5 | Linalool | 1099 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.4 | 0.1±0.2 | | |
| 6 | cis-Sabinene Hydrate | 1102 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 | - | 0.6 | - | 0.4 | 0.4±0.3 | | |
| 7 | Borneol | 1174 | 6.7 | 9.8 | 7.2 | 12.0 | 38.0 | 2.1 | - | 0.1 | 11.0 | 9.7±11.5 | | |
| 8 | Terpin-4-ol | 1184 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.0 ± 0.1 | | |
| 9 | ρ-Cymene-8-ol | 1188 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 11.0 | - | 2.0 | 3.1±3.3 | | |
| 10 | Linalyl Propionate | 1196 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 8.8 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.2±2.7 | | |
| 11 | P-menth-1-en-8-ol | 1199 | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.3 | 1.4 | - | - | 0.2±0.5 | | |
| 12 | cis-Dihydrocarvone | 1206 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1±0.2 | | |
| 13 | Dihydrocarvone | 1211 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1±0.2 | | |
| 14 | Carvacrol methyl Ether | 1245 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | - | 1.8 | 1.0 ± 1.0 | | |

| 15 | Thymol | 1290 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 19.8 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 12.7 | 6.3 | 6.5±6.4 |
|----|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|
| 16 | Carvacrol | 1304 | 86.4 | 80.1 | 77.3 | 57 | 22.7 | 84.5 | 73.6 | 78.9 | 73.5 | 70.4±19.8 |
| 17 | Carvacrol acetate | 1374 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 ± 0.7 |
| 18 | β-Caryophyllene | 1437 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.1±0.2 |
| 19 | γ-muurolene | 1489 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 ± 0.1 |
| 20 | β-Bisabolene | 1515 | - | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | - | 1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | - | 0.5 ± 0.4 |
| 21 | Delta-Cadinene | 1535 | 0.9 | - | - | - | 4.5 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.7±1.5 |
| 22 | Spathulenol | 1596 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | - | | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 ± 0.4 |
| 23 | Caryophyllene Oxide | 1603 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | 0.3 ± 0.4 |
| 24 | <i>trans</i> -β-Terpinyl Butanoate | 1943 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.1±2.0 |
| | Total | | 99.2 | 99.3 | 99.9 | 97.7 | 97.4 | 97.4 | 98.3 | 96.4 | 99.1 | 98.3 |
| | Oil content (%) | | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.6±0.5 |

a). for abbreviations see table 2.

Table 6 Essential oil constituents of Satureja mutica Fisch & C.A.Mey. individuals from Namnik population.

| No | Compound | | Individuals | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|--|--|--|
| INU | Compound | RI ^a | Nam1 | Nam2 | Nam3 | Nam4 | Nam5 | Nam6 | Mean±SD | | | |
| 1 | Sabinene | 976 | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.2±0.2 | | | |
| 2 | Myrcene | 992 | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.1±0.2 | | | |
| 3 | ρ-Cymene | 1029 | 21.3 | - | 3.3 | 1.1 | 18.4 | - | 7.4±9.8 | | | |
| 4 | trans-Sabinene hydrate | 1071 | 1.0 | - | 0.8 | - | 1.5 | - | 0.6 ± 0.6 | | | |
| 5 | Linalool | 1099 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.1±0.2 | | | |
| 6 | cis-Sabinene hydrate | 1102 | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.1 ± 0.1 | | | |
| 7 | Terpin-4-ol | 1184 | 0.1 | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | 0.2 ± 0.4 | | | |
| 8 | Thymol methyl ether | 1244 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.1±0.2 | | | |
| 9 | Carvacrol methyl ether | 1245 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 3.5 | - | 4.7 | 0.3 | $2.0{\pm}1.8$ | | | |
| 10 | 2,4-diethyl phenol | 1282 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 ± 0.7 | | | |
| 11 | Thymol | 1290 | 29.7 | 47.1 | 22.3 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 0.3 | 19.4±17.6 | | | |
| 12 | Carvacrol | 1304 | 34.3 | 31.4 | 49.9 | 62.0 | 50.0 | 86.3 | 52.3±20.1 | | | |
| 13 | Ascaridole | 1316 | 0.9 | - | 1.8 | 1 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 1.8 ± 2.1 | | | |
| 14 | 1,8-Cineole | 1037 | 4.9 | - | 0.3 | - | 4.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 ± 2.4 | | | |
| 15 | α-Thujaplicine | 1330 | - | - | 1.8 | 1.4 | - | - | 0.5 ± 0.8 | | | |
| 16 | β-Caryophyllene | 1437 | 0.2 | - | - | 2.2 | - | 0.3 | 0.5±0.9 | | | |
| 17 | β-Bisabolene | 1515 | 0.8 | - | 0.7 | 1 | - | - | 0.4 ± 0.5 | | | |
| 18 | Spathulenol | 1596 | 0.1 | - | - | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 ± 0.7 | | | |
| 19 | Caryophyllene oxide | 1603 | 0.9 | - | 4.1 | 2.2 | - | - | 1.2 ± 1.7 | | | |
| 20 | trans-β-Terpinyl Butanoate | 1943 | 0.2 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 3.0 ± 3.2 | | | |
| | Total | - | 97.8 | 86.7 | 97.1 | 76.3 | 98.5 | 98.7 | 92.6 | | | |
| | Oil content (%) | - | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.9±0.8 | | | |

| No | Compound | | Individuals | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|--|
| INU | Compound | RI ^a | Man1 | Man2 | Man3 | Man4 | Man5 | Man6 | Man7 | Man8 | Mean±SD | |
| 1 | α-Thujune | 931 | - | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1±0.2 | |
| 2 | α-Pinene | 941 | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1±0.2 | |
| 3 | Myrcene | 992 | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1±0.3 | |
| 4 | ρ-Cymene | 1029 | 3.0 | 39.5 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 3.7 | - | 6.7±13.3 | |
| 5 | γ-Terpinene | 1063 | 0.7 | 6.4 | - | 6.7 | 6.7 | 0.8 | - | - | 2.7±3.3 | |
| 6 | Terpin-4-ol | 1184 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 | - | 1.3 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 1.4±1.6 | |
| 7 | P-menth-1-en-8-ol | 1199 | - | - | 3.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | - | 0.7 | - | 0.9±1.3 | |
| 8 | Carvacrol methyl ether | 1245 | - | - | - | 5.0 | - | - | 6.1 | - | 1.3 ± 2.6 | |
| 9 | Thymol | 1290 | 71.2 | 42.9 | 48.7 | 47.6 | 41.5 | 77 | 37.1 | 25.9 | 49.0±17.1 | |
| 10 | Carvacrol | 1304 | 16.5 | 1.5 | 38.2 | 27.5 | 36.7 | 9.6 | 42.9 | 57.6 | 28.8±18.7 | |
| 11 | Carvacrol acetate | 1374 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.3 | 0.75 | 0.3±0.5 | |
| 12 | β-Caryophyllene | 1437 | 0.4 | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 ± 0.4 | |
| 13 | Germacrene D | 1497 | 0.7 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2±0.3 | |
| 14 | β-Bisabolene | 1515 | - | 0.8 | 1.4 | - | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.4±0.5 | |
| 15 | Spathulenol | 1596 | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1±0.3 | |
| 16 | Caryophyllene oxide | 1603 | - | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 | - | 1.8 | - | 1 | 0.9±0.9 | |
| | Total | - | 93.0 | 99.2 | 95.1 | 91.7 | 90.5 | 91.3 | 92.7 | 90.5 | 93.0 | |
| | Oil content (%) | - | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5±0.4 | |

 Table 7 Essential oil constituents of Satureja mutica Fisch & C.A.Mey. individuals from Manjil population.



Fig. 2 Chemical structure of some major constituents of essential oils in Satureja mutica Fisch & C.A.Mey...

Discussion

Different *Satureja* (Labiatae) species are present in mountainous areas in Iran, and have been used in traditional medicine as antimicrobial, spasmolytic, analgesic, cicatrizing and diuretic agents [2]. Literature review showed variation between chemical yield and compositions of different *Satureja* species oils [3,4,7,10].

Essential oil biosynthesis and phytochemical variability is widely affected by a number of factors such as environmental conditions, physiological and geographical variations, genetic factors and evolution [6]. As previously reported by Sefidkon and Jamzad [4], the oil content of *S. mutica* was 2.31% in samples collected from an eastern part of Iran (Khorasan province). Among examined populations, Keshanak and Darkesh were from the rangeland habitats, while the other five populations

were of the forest origin. The highest essential oil content of Keshanak and Darkesh populations could be mainly due to the differences of habitats. According to Hadian et al. [10] altitude and rainfall are the main factors affecting the essential oil accumulations of S. khuzistanica. In the same manner, Karousou et al. [11] concluded that the essential oil accumulation in Coridothymus capitatus and S. thymbra is correlated to the water deficiency. Chemical variations among populations can be attributed to both genetic divergence and/or environmental factors [6]. Also, relations between biochemical geographic distribution and composition have been previously reported for some other medicinal plants [12-16]. Chemical composition of the essential oil of S. mutica, collected from Khorasan proviance, has been reported to be carvacrol (30.9%), thymol (26.5%), γ -terpinene (14.9%), and *p*-cymene (10.3%) [4].

Also, Gohari et al. [5] reported thymol (62.6%), pcymene (9.4%), and carvacrol (6.6%) as the main components of S. mutica from Guilan province. Various species of the genus Satureja have been investigated for the essential oil variability. In an investigation on thirty accessions of S. hortensis, twenty nine components were identified in the oils of them carvacrol (42.0-83.3%), y-terpinene (0.5-28.5%), and *p*-cymene (1.0-17.1%) were the major components [6]. The main constituents of the essential oils of eight populations of S. sahendica were thymol (19.6-41.7%), *p*-cymene (32.5-54.9%) and γ -terpinene (1.0-12.8%) [3]. Analysis of the oils of 69 sampled individuals from seven different populations of S. khuzistanica showed that all have the high percentage of carvacrol (89.59-95.41%) as main component [10]. The ratios of the constituents determine the activity of the essential oils. Valero and Giner [17] reported that carvacrol shows more antibacterial activity than thymol. However, the combination of thymol and *p*-cymene shows more antibacterial activity than the single compound [18, 19]. On the contrary, the antioxidant activity of thymol in lipid system is stronger than carvacrol [20]. It can be concluded that different chemical profiles, identified among S. mutica populations, may possess different biological activities.

From the results obtained, it can be concluded that high level of phytochemical variability exists among and within S. mutica populations. In contrast to the most of Saturjea species in which one of the phenols, carvacrol or thymol, are dominant, in S. mutica a special harmony exist between the two compounds. Among the studied populations, the plants of Keshanak and Darkesh populations had moderate level of both carvacrol and thymol. The populations of Pono and Garmabdasht were rich in thymol and the population of Tangegol was rich in carvacrol. Three different identified chemical profiles, could be used in various food and pharmaceutical industries when respective biological activity is needed.

References

- 1. Jamzad Z. Thymus and Satureja species of Iran, Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands press. Tehran, 2009; pp. 121.
- 2. Zargari A. Medicinal Plants. Tehran University Publications, Tehran, 1990; vol. 4. pp. 42-45.

- 3. Sefidkon F, Jamzad Z and Mirza M. Chemical variation in the essential oil of *Satureja sahendica* from Iran. Food Chem. 2004; 88:325-328.
- Sefidkon F and Jamzad Z. Chemical composition of the essential oil of three Iranian Satureja species (*S. mutica, S. macrantha and S. intermedia*). Food Chem. 2005;91:1-4.
- Gohari AR, Hadjiakhoondi A, Shafiee A, Ebrahimi ES and Mozaffarian VA. Chemical composition of the essential oils of *Satureja atropatana* and *Satureja mutica* growing wild in Iran. J. Essent. Oil Res. 2005; 17:17–18.
- Gulluce M, Sokmen M, Sahin F, Sokmen A, Adiguzel A and Ozer H. Biological activities of the essential oil and methanolic extract of *Micromeria fruticosa* (L) Druce ssp serpyllifolia (Bieb) PH Davis plants from the eastern Anatolia region of Turkey. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2004;84:735–741.
- Hadian J, Nejad-Ebrahimi S and Salehi P. Variability of morphological and phytochemical characteristics among *Satureja hortensis* L. accessions of Iran. Ind. Crop Prod. 2010;32:62-69.
- 8. British Pharmacopeia. Her Majesty Stationery Office, HMSO, 1993; London, UK.
- Adams RP. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Quadrupole Mass Spectroscopy, 4th ed. Allured Publishing. 2007; Carol Stream, IL.
- Hadian J, Mirjalili MH, Kanani MR, Salehnia A, Ganjipoor P. Phytochemical and morphological characterization of *Satureja khuzistanica* Jamzad Populations from Iran. Chem. Biodivers. 2011;8:902-915.
- 11. Karousou R, Koureas DN and Kokkini S. Essential oil composition is related to the natural habitats: *Coridothymus capitatus* and *Satureja thymbra* in NATURA 2000 sites of Crete. Phytochem. 2005;66:2668–2673.
- Lee LS, Brooks LO, Homer LE, Rossetto M, Henry RJ, and Baverstock PR. Geographic variation in the essential oils and morphology of natural populations of *Melaleuca alternifolia* (Myrtaceae). Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 2002;30:343-360.
- 13. Agostini G, Agostini F, Atti-Serafini L and Echeverrigaray S. Essential oil variability within and among populations of *Cunila incisa* Benth. Biochem. Syst. Ecol 2006;34:802-808.
- 14. Munoz-Bertomeu J, Arrillaga I and Segura J. Essential oil variation within and among natural populations of *Lavandula latifolia* and its relation to their ecological areas. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 2007;35:479-488.
- 15. Dardioti A, Karousou R, Lanaras T and Kokkini, S. Diversity of *Satureja pilosa* subsp. origanita essential oils: A new Oregano from East Mediterranean. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 2012;40:178-183.
- Herraiz-Penalver D, Angeles Cases M, Varela F, Navarrete P, Sanchez-Vioque R and Usano-Alemany J. Chemical characterization of *Lavandula latifolia* Medik. essential oil from Spanish wild populations. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 2013;46:59-68.

- 17. Valero M and Giner MJ. Effects of antimicrobial components of essential oils on growth of *Bacillus cereus* INRA L2104 in and the sensory qualities of carrot broth. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2006;106:90-94.
- 18. Delgado B, Fernandez PS, Palop A, Periago and PM. Effect of thymol and cymene to establish safe conditions related to *Bacillus cereus* vegetative cells through the use of frequency distributions. Food Microbiol. 2004;21:327-334.
- 19. Periago PM and Moezelaar R. Combined effect of nisin and carvacrol at different pH and temperature levels on the viability of different strains of *Bacillus cereus*. Int J Food Microbiol. 2001;68:141-148.
- 20. Yanishlieva N, Marinova E, Gordon M and Raneva V. Antioxidant activity and mechanism of action of thymol and carvacrol in two lipid systems. Food Chem. 1999;64:59-66.